arXiv:math/0507056v1 [math.QA] 4 Jul 2005

Polyhedral Realizations of Crystal Bases for Quantum Algebras of Finite Types

AYUMU HOSHINO*

Department of Mathematics, Sophia University,
Tokyo 102-8554, JAPAN

Abstract

Polyhedral realization of crystal bases is one of the methods for describing the crystal base $B(\infty)$ explicitly. This method can be applied to symmetrizable Kac-Moody types. We can also apply this method to the crystal bases $B(\lambda)$ of integrable highest weight modules and of modified quantum algebras. But, the explicit forms of the polyhedral realizations of crystal bases $B(\infty)$ and $B(\lambda)$ are only given in the case of arbitrary rank 2, of A_n and of $A_n^{(1)}$. So, we will give the polyhedral realizations of crystal bases $B(\infty)$ and $B(\lambda)$ for all simple Lie algebras in this paper.

Contents

1 Introduction 1

2 Preliminaries 2

2.1 Crystal bases and Crystals . . . 2

2.2 Polyhedral Realization of $B(\infty)$ . . . 2

2.3 Polyhedral Realization of $B(\lambda)$ . . . 4

3 Explicit forms of polyhedral realization of $B(\infty)$ and $B(\lambda)$ 5

3.1 B_n case . . . 6

3.2 C_n case . . . 10

3.3 D_n case . . . 13

3.4 F_4 case . . . 20

3.5 E_6 case . . . 21

3.6 E_7 case . . . 24

3.7 E_8 case . . . 25

1 Introduction

Quantum algebra $U_q(\mathfrak{g}) := \langle e_i, f_i, q^h \rangle_{i\in I}$ ($I = \{1, 2, \cdots, n\}$) which was introduced in the study of solvable lattice models is applied to the several kinds of study of mathematical physics and plays important roles. The nilpotent part $U_q^-(\mathfrak{g})(= \langle f_i \rangle_{i\in I})$ of $U_q(\mathfrak{g})$ has a crystal base $B(\infty)$ which was constructed by Kashiwara [K1] and the irreducible integrable highest weight representaion of $U_q(\mathfrak{g})$ also has crystal base $B(\lambda)$.

The crystal base has been realized by several methods but it is not so easy to obtain the explicit form. Polyhedral realization of crystal bases is one of the methods for realizing crystal base explicitly, which was introduced by Nakashima and Zelevinsky [NZ]. We can describe a vector of crystal base $B(\infty)$ as a lattice point of certain convex polyhedron in an infinite $\mathbb{Z}$-lattice by this method. This method can be applied to symmetrizable Kac-Moody types and applied to the crystal base $B(\lambda)$ of the irreducible integrable highest

---

*e-mail address: a-hoshin@mm.sophia.ac.jp

The author is supported by Grant-in-Aid for JSPS Fellows.

weight module. In [NZ], polyhedral realizations of $B(\infty)$ are given when $\mathfrak{g}$ is of arbitrary rank 2 cases, of A_n and of $A_{n-1}^{(1)}$ and in [N], Nakashima gave the polyhedral realizations of the crystal base $B(\lambda)$ $(\lambda \in P_+)$ of irreducible integrable highest weight modules when $\mathfrak{g}$ is the same cases as the above. He and the author [HN] applied this method to the modified quantum algebras and had the polyhedral realizations of $B(U_q(\mathfrak{g})a_\lambda)$ and the some specific connected component of $B_0(\lambda)$ $(\lambda \in P)$ containing $u_\infty \otimes t_\lambda \otimes u_{-\infty}$ for $\mathfrak{g}$ is of type A_n under certain assumption on the weight λ and for $\mathfrak{g}$ is of type $A_1^{(1)}$ on positive level λ and in [H], the author gave the one of $B_0(\lambda)$ for arbitrary rank 2 cases on positive level λ. After Nakashima and Zelevinsky's work, P. Littelmann described crystal base $B(\infty)$ by some inequalities (which are called "cone") for all simple Lie algebras and $B(\lambda)$ for classical Lie algebras [Li]. In this paper, we will give the polyhedral realizations of crystal bases $B(\infty)$ and $B(\lambda)$ for all simple Lie algebras. In order to treat the cases, we improve the Theorem in [NZ] and obtain the polyhedral realizations.

This paper is organized as follows: in Section 2, we review the theory of crystal base and methods of polyhedral realizations of $B(\infty)$ and $B(\lambda)$. In Section 3, we improve the method which is given by [NZ] and obtain the explicit forms of the polyhedral realizations of $B(\infty)$ and $B(\lambda)$ for all simple Lie algebras. But, we do not write in this paper about the $B(\lambda)$ for E_7 and E_8 since numerous inequalities appear.

Acknowledgements. The author would like to thank Professor Toshiki Nakashima for his support and helpful comments.

2 Preliminaries

2.1 Crystal bases and Crystals

In this subsection, we review the theory of crystal bases and crystals. We fix a finite index set I and let $A = (a_{ij})_{i,j\in I}$ be a generalized symmetrizable Cartan matrix, $(\mathfrak{t}, \{\alpha_i\}_{i\in I}, \{h_i\}_{i\in I})$ be the associated Cartan data and $\mathfrak{g}$ be the associated Kac-Moody Lie algebra where α_i (resp. h_i) is called a simple root (resp. simple coroot). Let P be a weight lattice with a $\mathbb{Q}$-valued symmetric bilinear form $(\ ,\ )$, P^* be a dual lattice including $\{h_i\}_{\in I}$ and $Q := \bigoplus_{i\in I} \mathbb{Q}(q)\alpha_i$ be a root lattice. The quantum algebra $U_q(\mathfrak{g})$ to be the associative algebra with 1 over $\mathbb{Q}(q)$ generated by e_i, f_i, q^h $(i \in I, h \in P^*)$ with the usual relations. Let $U_q^-(\mathfrak{g}) := \langle f_i \rangle_{i\in I}$ be the subalgebra of $U_q(\mathfrak{g})$ and $V(\lambda)$ be the irreducible integrable highest weight module. $U_q^-(\mathfrak{g})$ (resp.$V(\lambda)$) have a crystal base $(L(\infty), B(\infty))$ (resp.$(L(\lambda), B(\lambda))$) satisfying some properties. Let $\pi_\lambda : U_q^-(\mathfrak{g}) \to V(\lambda) \cong U_q^-(\mathfrak{g})/\sum_i U_q^-(\mathfrak{g})\tilde{f}_i^{1+\langle h_i,\lambda\rangle}$ be the canonical projiction and $\hat{\pi}_\lambda : L(\infty)/qL(\infty) \to L(\lambda)/qL(\lambda)$ be the induced map from π_λ. We note that $\hat{\pi}_\lambda(B(\infty)) = B(\lambda) \sqcup \{0\}$.

The notion of crystal is obtained by abstracting the combinatorial properties of crystal bases. A crystal B has maps $wt : B \to P$, ε_i, $\varphi_i : B \to \mathbb{Z} \sqcup \{-\infty\}$ and $\tilde{e}_i$, $\tilde{f}_i : B \sqcup \{0\} \to B \sqcup \{0\}$ with some aximos. In fact, crystal bases $B(\infty)$ and $B(\lambda)$ are also crystal. The tensor product of the crystal bases is again crystal base and so, we can consider the tensor product of crystals.

2.2 Polyhedral Realization of $B(\infty)$

In this subsection, we review the polyhedral realization of the crystal $B(\infty)$ (see [NZ]).

First, we recall the crystal structure of $\mathbb{Z}^\infty$. We consider the following additive groups:

$$\mathbb{Z}^\infty \quad := \quad \{(\cdots, x_k, \cdots, x_2, x_1) \,|\, x_k \in \mathbb{Z} \text{ and } x_k = 0 \text{ for } k \gg 0\}.$$

We will denote by $\mathbb{Z}^\infty_{\geq 0} \subset \mathbb{Z}^\infty$ the semigroup of nonnegative sequences. Take an infinite sequence of indices $\iota = (\cdots, i_k, \cdots, i_2, i_1)$ from I such that

$$i_k \neq i_{k+1} \text{ for any } k, \text{ and } \sharp\{k > 0 : i_k = i\} = \infty \text{ for any } i \in I. \tag{2.1}$$

The crystal structure on $\mathbb{Z}^\infty$ associate to ι is defined as follows. Let $\vec{x} = (\cdots, x_2, x_1) \in \mathbb{Z}^\infty$. We set for $k \geq 1$

$$\sigma_k(\vec{x}) := x_k + \sum_{j>k} \langle h_{i_k}, \alpha_{i_j} \rangle x_j. \tag{2.2}$$

Since $x_j = 0$ for $j \gg 0$, σ_k is well-defined. Let $\sigma^{(i)}(\vec{x}) := \max_{k:i_k=i}\sigma_k(\vec{x})$ and

$$M^{(i)} = M^{(i)}(\vec{x}) := \{k : i_k = i, \sigma_k(\vec{x}) = \sigma^{(i)}(\vec{x})\}. \tag{2.3}$$

Note that $\sigma^{(i)}(\vec{x}) \geq 0$, and that $M^{(i)} = M^{(i)}(\vec{x})$ is finite set if and only if $\sigma^{(i)}(\vec{x}) > 0$. Now, we define the map $\tilde{e}_i : \mathbb{Z}^\infty \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z}^\infty \sqcup \{0\}$, $\tilde{f}_i : \mathbb{Z}^\infty \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z}^\infty$, by $\tilde{e}_i(0) = \tilde{f}_i(0) = 0$ and

$$\begin{aligned} (\tilde{f}_i(\vec{x}))_k &= x_k + \delta_{k,\min M^{(i)}}, && (2.4)\\ (\tilde{e}_i(\vec{x}))_k &= x_k - \delta_{k,\max M^{(i)}} \text{ if } \sigma^{(i)}(\vec{x}) > 0; \quad \text{other wise } \tilde{e}_i(\vec{x}) = 0, && (2.5) \end{aligned}$$

where $\delta_{i,j}$ is Kronecker's delta. We also define the weight function and the function ε_i and φ_i on $\mathbb{Z}^\infty$ as follows:

$$wt(\vec{x}) := -\textstyle\sum_{j=-\infty}^{\infty} x_j\alpha_{i_j}, \ \varepsilon_i(\vec{x}) := \sigma^{(i)}(\vec{x}), \ \varphi_i(\vec{x}) := \langle h_i, wt(\vec{x})\rangle + \varepsilon_i(\vec{x}). \tag{2.6}$$

We denote this crystal by $\mathbb{Z}^\infty_\iota$.

Proposition 2.1 ([K2]). *There is a unique embedding of crystals according to* ι

$$\Psi_\iota : \quad B(\infty) \ \hookrightarrow \ \mathbb{Z}^\infty_{\geq 0} \subset \mathbb{Z}^\infty_\iota \quad (u_\infty \mapsto (\cdots, 0, 0)). \tag{2.7}$$

This embedding is called *Kashiwara embedding.*

Next, we review the polyhedral realization of $B(\infty)$ for describing the image of *Kashiwara embedding.* We consider the following infinite dimensional vector spaces and their dual spaces:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{Q}^\infty &:= \{\vec{x} = (\cdots, x_k, \cdots, x_2, x_1) : x_k \in \mathbb{Q} \text{ and } x_k = 0 \text{ for } k \gg 0\},\\ (\mathbb{Q}^\infty)^* &:= \mathrm{Hom}(\mathbb{Q}^\infty, \mathbb{Q}). \end{aligned}$$

We will write a linear form $\varphi \in (\mathbb{Q}^\infty)^*$ as $\varphi(\vec{x}) = \sum_{k\geq 1}\varphi_k x_k$ ($\varphi_j \in \mathbb{Q}$). For the sequence $\iota = (i_k)_{k\geq 1}$ and $k \geq 1$, we set

$$k^{(+)} := \min\{l : l > k > 0 \ \text{ and } i_k = i_l\},$$

if it exists, otherwise $k^{(-)} = 0$. We define a linear form β_k ($k \geq 1$) on $\mathbb{Q}^\infty$ by

$$\begin{aligned} \beta_k(\vec{x}) &= \sigma_k(\vec{x}) - \sigma_{k^{(+)}}(\vec{x}) && (2.8)\\ &= x_k + \sum_{k<j<k^{(+)}} \langle h_{i_k}, \alpha_{i_j}\rangle x_j + x_{k^{(+)}}. \end{aligned}$$

By using these linear forms, let us define a piecewise-linear operator $S_k = S_{k,\iota}$ on $(\mathbb{Q}^\infty)^*$ as follows:

$$S_k(\varphi) := \begin{cases} \varphi - \varphi_k\beta_k & \text{if } \varphi_k > 0,\\ \varphi - \varphi_k\beta_{k^{(-)}} & \text{if } \varphi_k \leq 0, \end{cases} \tag{2.9}$$

for $\varphi(\vec{x}) = \sum \varphi_k x_k \in (\mathbb{Q}^\infty)^*$. Here we set

$$\begin{aligned} \Xi_\iota &:= \{S_{j_l}\cdots S_{j_2}S_{j_1}(x_{j_0}) \,|\, l \geq 0, j_0, j_1, \cdots, j_l \geq 1\}, && (2.10)\\ \Sigma_\iota &:= \{\vec{x} \in \mathbb{Z}^\infty \subset \mathbb{Q}^\infty \,|\, \varphi(\vec{x}) \geq 0 \text{ for any } \varphi \in \Xi_\iota\}. && (2.11) \end{aligned}$$

We impose on ι the following "positivity assumption" (P):

$$\text{(P)} \ \text{ for } \iota, \text{ if } k^{(-)} = 0 \text{ then } \varphi_k \geq 0 \text{ for any } \varphi(\vec{x}) = \textstyle\sum_k \varphi_k x_k \in \Xi_\iota. \tag{2.12}$$

Theorem 2.2 ([NZ]). *Let* ι *be the sequence of indices satisfying* (*2.1*) *and the positivity assumption* (*P*). *Let* $\Psi_\iota : B(\infty) \hookrightarrow \mathbb{Z}^\infty_\iota$ *be the Kashiwara embedding. Then, we have* $\mathrm{Im}(\Psi_\iota)(\cong B(\infty)) = \Sigma_\iota$.

We call Σ_ι the polyhedral realization of $B(\infty)$.

2.3 Polyhedral Realization of $B(\lambda)$

In this subsection, we review the polyhedral realization of the crystal $B(\lambda)$ (see [N]).

Let $R_\lambda := \{r_\lambda\}$ be the crystal for $\lambda \in P_+$ (P_+: set of dominant integral weights) defined in [N]. For the crystal $B(\infty) \otimes R_\lambda$, we define the following map:

$$\Phi_\lambda : (B(\infty) \otimes R_\lambda) \sqcup \{0\} \to B(\lambda) \sqcup \{0\} \tag{2.13}$$

by $\Phi_\lambda(0) = 0$ and $\Phi_\lambda(b \otimes r_\lambda) = \bar{\pi}_\lambda(b)$ for $b \in B(\infty)$. We set

$$\tilde{B}(\lambda) := \{b \otimes r_\lambda \in B(\infty) \otimes R_\lambda : \Phi_\lambda(b \otimes r_\lambda) \neq 0\}.$$

Theorem 2.3 ([N]). *(i) The map Φ_λ becomes a surjective strict morphism of crystals $B(\lambda) \otimes R_\lambda \to B(\lambda)$.*
(ii) $\tilde{B}(\lambda)$ is a subcrystal of $B(\lambda) \otimes R_\lambda$, and Φ_λ induces the isomorphism of crystals $\tilde{B}(\lambda) \simeq B(\lambda)$.

Let us denote $\mathbb{Z}_\iota \otimes R_\lambda$ by $\mathbb{Z}_\iota[\lambda]$. Here note that we can identify $\mathbb{Z}_\iota[\lambda]$ with $\mathbb{Z}_\iota^\infty$ as a set since the crystal R_λ has only one element but their crystal structures are different. By Theorem 2.3, we have the strict embedding of crystals $\Omega_\lambda : B(\lambda)(\cong \tilde{B}(\lambda)) \hookrightarrow B(\infty) \otimes R_\lambda$. Combining Ω_λ and Kashiwara embedding Ψ_ι, we obtain the following:

Theorem 2.4 ([N]). *There exists the unique strict embedding of crystals*

$$\Psi_\iota^{(\lambda)} : B(\lambda) \hookrightarrow B(\infty) \otimes R_\lambda \hookrightarrow \mathbb{Z}_\iota^\infty \otimes R_\lambda =: \mathbb{Z}_\iota^\infty[\lambda]$$

such that $\Psi_\iota^{(\lambda)}(u_\lambda) = (\cdots, 0, 0) \otimes r_\lambda$.

We fix an infinite sequence of indices $\iota = (\cdots, i_k, \cdots, i_2, i_1)$ satisfying (2.1) and $\lambda \in P_+$. We define a linear form $\beta_k^{(\pm)}$ ($k \geq 1$) on $\mathbb{Q}^\infty$ by

$$\beta_k^{(+)}(\vec{x}) \; = \; x_k + \sum_{k<j<k^{(+)}} \langle h_{i_k}, \alpha_{i_j} \rangle x_j + x_{k^{(+)}}, \tag{2.14}$$

$$\beta_k^{(-)}(\vec{x}) \; = \begin{cases} x_{k^{(-)}} + \sum_{k^{(-)}<j<k} \langle h_{i_k}, \alpha_{i_j} \rangle x_j + x_k, & \text{if } k^{(-)} > 0, \\ -\langle h_{i_k}, \lambda \rangle + \sum_{1<j<k} \langle h_{i_k}, \alpha_{i_j} \rangle x_j + x_k, & \text{if } k^{(-)} = 0. \end{cases} \tag{2.15}$$

Here note that $\beta_k^{(+)} = \beta_k$ and $\beta_k^{(-)} = \beta_{k^{(-)}}$ if $k^{(-)} > 0$. By using these linear forms, let us define a piecewise-linear operator $\hat{S}_k = \hat{S}_{k,\iota}$ on $(\mathbb{Q}^\infty)^*$ as follows:

$$\hat{S}_k(\varphi) := \begin{cases} \varphi - \varphi_k \beta_k^{(+)} & \text{if } \varphi_k > 0, \\ \varphi - \varphi_k \beta_k^{(-)} & \text{if } \varphi_k \leq 0, \end{cases} \tag{2.16}$$

for $\varphi(\vec{x}) = c + \sum \varphi_k x_k$ ($c, \varphi_k \in \mathbb{Q}$) on $\mathbb{Q}^\infty$. For the fixed sequence $\iota = (i_k)$, in case $k^{(-)} = 0$ for $k \geq 1$, there exists unique $i \in I$ such that $i_k = i$. We denote such k by $\iota^{(i)}$, namely, $\iota^{(i)}$ is the first number k such that $i_k = i$. Here we set for $\lambda \in P_+$ and $i \in I$

$$\lambda^{(i)}(\vec{x}) := -\beta_{\iota^{(i)}}^{(-)}(\vec{x}) = \langle h_i, \lambda \rangle - \sum_{1<j<\iota^{(i)}} \langle h_i, \alpha_{i_j} \rangle x_j - x_{\iota^{(i)}}. \tag{2.17}$$

For ι and $\lambda \in P_+$, let $\Xi_\iota[\lambda]$ be the set of all linear functions generated by $\hat{S}_k$ from the functions x_j ($j \geq 1$) and $\lambda^{(i)}$ ($i \in I$), namely,

$$\begin{aligned} \Xi_\iota[\lambda] \;\; := \;\; & \{\hat{S}_{j_l} \cdots \hat{S}_{j_2} \hat{S}_{j_1}(x_{j_0}) : l \geq 0, j_0, j_1, \cdots, j_l \geq 1\} \\ & \cup \{\hat{S}_{j_k} \cdots \hat{S}_{j_2} \hat{S}_{j_1}(\lambda^{(i)}(x)) : k \geq 0,\ i \in I,\ j_0, j_1, \cdots, j_k \geq 1\}. \end{aligned}$$

Now we set

$$\Sigma_\iota \;\; := \;\; \{\vec{x} \in \mathbb{Z}_\iota^\infty[\lambda] \subset \mathbb{Q}^\infty : \varphi(\vec{x}) \geq 0 \text{ for any } \varphi \in \Xi_\iota[\lambda]\}. \tag{2.18}$$

For ι and $\lambda \in P_+$, a pair (ι, λ) is called *ample* if $\Sigma_\iota[\lambda] \ni \vec{0} = (\cdots, 0, 0)$.

Theorem 2.5 ([N]). *Suppose that* (ι, λ) *is ample. Let* $\Psi_\iota^\lambda : B(\lambda) \hookrightarrow \mathbb{Z}_\iota^\infty[\lambda]$ *be the embedding as in Theorem 2.4. Then we have* $\mathrm{Im}(\Psi_\iota^{(\lambda)})(\cong B(\lambda)) = \Sigma_\iota[\lambda]$.

We call $\Sigma_\iota[\lambda]$ the polyhedral realization of $B(\lambda)$.

In the rest of this subsection, we note about $\hat{S}_k$ and S_k. We define the linear form $\xi^{(i)}$ $(i \in I)$ on $\mathbb{Q}$ by

$$\xi^{(i)}(\vec{x}) := -\sum_{1<j<\iota^{(i)}} \langle h_i, \alpha_{i_j} \rangle x_j - x_{\iota^{(i)}} = -\langle h_i, \lambda \rangle + \lambda^{(i)}(\vec{x}) \tag{2.19}$$

and set of linear forms $\Xi_\iota^{(i)}$ by

$$\Xi_\iota^{(i)} := \{S_{j_l} \cdots S_{j_2} S_{j_1} \xi^{(i)} : l \geq 0, j_0, j_1, \cdots, j_l \geq 1\}. \tag{2.20}$$

Here we introduce the *strict positivity assumption* for ι as follows:

$$\text{if } k^{(-)} = 0 \text{ then } \varphi_k \geq 0 \text{ for any } \varphi(\vec{x}) = \textstyle\sum_k \varphi_k x_k \in (\Xi_\iota \bigcup \cup_{j\in I} \Xi_\iota^{(j)}) \setminus \{\xi^{(i)} : i \in I\}, \tag{2.21}$$

where Ξ_ι is defined by (2.10). Then we have following Lemma:

Lemma 2.6 ([N]). *Under the strict positivity assumption for* ι, *we have*

$$\hat{S}_{j_l} \cdots \hat{S}_{j_2} \hat{S}_{j_1} x_{j_0} = S_{j_l} \cdots S_{j_2} S_{j_1} x_{j_0}, \tag{2.22}$$

for any $l \geq 0$, $j_0, j_1, \cdots, j_l \geq 1$, *and*

$$\hat{S}_{j_l} \cdots \hat{S}_{j_2} \hat{S}_{j_1} \lambda^{(i)}(\vec{x}) = \langle h_i, \lambda \rangle + S_{j_l} \cdots S_{j_2} S_{j_1} \xi^{(i)}(\vec{x}), \tag{2.23}$$

for any $l \geq 0$, $j_0, j_1, \cdots, j_l \geq 1$ *and* $i \in I$, *if the left hand side of (2.23) is non zero.*

3 Explicit forms of polyhedral realization of $B(\infty)$ and $B(\lambda)$

The polyhedral realizations of $B(\infty)$ and $B(\lambda)$ are already known in the case of all rank 2 Kac-Moody types, of A_n and of $A_{n-1}^{(1)}$ ([NZ], [N]). In this section, we treat other simple types. We obtain the following Theorem by [NZ] Theorem 3.1:

Theorem 3.1. *Let* Ξ'_ι *be a set of the linear forms in* $\mathbb{Q}^\infty$ *and set*

$$\Sigma'_\iota := \{\vec{x} \in \mathbb{Z}_\iota^\infty \mid \varphi(x) \geq 0 \textit{ for any } \varphi \in \Xi'_\iota\}$$

satisfying the following conditions:

- *(i)* Ξ'_ι *is closed under the action of* S_k*'s,*
- *(ii)* ι *satisfies the positivity assumption (P),*
- *(iii) all entries of* $\vec{x} = (\cdots, x_2, x_1) \in \Sigma'_\iota$ *are nonnegative.*

Then we have

$$\mathrm{Im}(\Psi_\iota)(\cong B(\infty)) = \Sigma'_\iota.$$

Proof. We recall that the following two facts for certain $\Sigma_\iota(\subset \mathbb{Z}_\iota^\infty)$ (see [NZ] Theorem 3.1):
A) If Σ_ι is closed under the action of $\tilde{f}_i$, then Im $(\Psi_\iota) \subset \Sigma_\iota$,
B) If Σ_ι is closed under the action of $\tilde{e}_i$ and all the entries of $\vec{x} \in \Sigma_\iota$ are nonnegative, then $\Sigma_\iota \subset \mathrm{Im}(\Psi_\iota)$ since every $\vec{x} \in \Sigma_\iota$ can be transformed to $\vec{0}$ by the action of $\tilde{e}_i$'s $(i \in I)$.

We assume the condition (i). The condition (i) means that Σ'_ι is closed under the action of $\tilde{e}_i$'s. Using (ii), we obtain that Σ'_ι is closed under the action of $\tilde{f}_i$'s and this shows $\mathrm{Im}(\Psi_\iota) \subset \Sigma'_\iota$. Using (iii), we obtain that $\Sigma'_\iota \subset \mathrm{Im}(\Psi_\iota)$.

□

Remark 3.2. Theorem 3.1 implies that we need not consider a set of linear functions Ξ_ι which is closed under the action of S_k to x_j for any $j > 0$. We only need to find a set of linear functions Ξ_ι which is closed under the action of S_k to x_j for some $j > 0$ and which satisfies two conditions (ii), (iii).

It will be convenient for us to change the indexing set for $\mathbb{Z}^\infty$ from $\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$ to $\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1} \times [1, n]$. We will do this with the help of the bijection $\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1} \times [1, n] \to \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$ given by $((j; i) \mapsto (j-1)n + i)$. Thus, we will write an element $\vec{x} \in \mathbb{Z}^\infty$ as doubly-indexed family $(x_{j;i})_{j \geq 1, i \in [1,n]}$ of nonnegative integers. Therefore, we can write that $\vec{x} \in \mathbb{Z}^\infty$ as $(\cdots, x_{1;2}, x_{1;1})$. We will adopt the convention that $x_{j;0} = x_{j;n+1} = 0$ unless $i \in [1, n]$. Hereafter, we fix the infinite sequence ι as follows:

$$\iota := (\cdots, \underbrace{n, n-1, \cdots, 2, 1}, \cdots, \underbrace{n, n-1, \cdots, 2, 1}, \underbrace{n, n-1, \cdots, 2, 1}),$$

where n is the size of Cartan matrix.

3.1 B_n case

We consider the case of type B_n and give the explicit forms of Σ_ι and $\Sigma_\iota[\lambda]$. First, we give the polyhedral realization Σ_ι. We define for any $j \geq 1$, $0 \leq k \leq 2n-1$

$$\varphi_{j;k} := \begin{cases} id & (k = 0), \\ S_{j;k} S_{j;k-1} \cdots S_{j;2} S_{j;1} & (1 \leq k \leq n-1), \\ S_{j+k-n;2n-k} S_{j+k-1-n;2n-k+1} \cdots S_{j+1;n-1} S_{j;n} \varphi_{j;n-1} & (n \leq k \leq 2n-1). \end{cases} \tag{3.1}$$

Lemma 3.3.

$$\varphi_{j;k}(x_{j;1}) = \begin{cases} x_{j;k+1} - x_{j+1;k} & (0 \leq k \leq n-1), \\ x_{j+k-n+1;2n-k-1} - x_{j+k-n+1;2n-k} & (n \leq k \leq 2n-1). \end{cases} \tag{3.2}$$

Proof. By the induction on k. The case of $k = 0$ is trivial.

Case I) $1 \leq k \leq n-1$.

If $k = 1$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} S_{j;1}(x_{j;1}) &= x_{j;1} - (x_{j;1} - x_{j;2} + x_{j+1;1}) \\ &= x_{j;2} - x_{j+1;1} \\ &= \varphi_{j;1}(x_{j;1}). \end{aligned}$$

If $1 < k \leq n-1$, we assume that $\varphi_{j;k-1}(x_{j;1}) = x_{j;k} - x_{j+1;k-1}$. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} S_{j;k}\varphi_{j;k-1}(x_{j;1}) &= S_{j;k}(x_{j;k} - x_{j+1;k-1}) \\ &= x_{j;k} - x_{j+1;k-1} - (x_{j;k} - x_{j;k+1} - x_{j+1;k-1} + x_{j+1;k}) \\ &= x_{j;k+1} - x_{j+1;k} \\ &= \varphi_{j;k}(x_{j;1}). \end{aligned}$$

Case II) $n \leq k \leq 2n-1$.

If $k = n$, using the result of Case I), we have

$$\begin{aligned} S_{j;n}\varphi_{j;n-1}(x_{j;1}) &= S_{j;n}(x_{j;n} - x_{j+1;n-1}) \\ &= x_{j;n} - x_{j+1;n-1} - (x_{j;n} - 2x_{j+1;n-1} + x_{j+1;n}) \\ &= x_{j+1;n-1} - x_{j+1;n} \\ &= \varphi_{j;n}(x_{j;1}). \end{aligned}$$

If $n < k \leq 2n-1$, we assume that $\varphi_{j;k-1}(x_{j;1}) = x_{j+k-n;2n-k} - x_{j+k-n;2n-k+1}$. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned}
S_{j+k-n;2n-k}\varphi_{j;k-1}(x_{j;1}) &= S_{j+k-n;2n-k}(x_{j+k-n;2n-k} - x_{j+k-n;2n-k+1}) \\
&= x_{j+k-n;2n-k} - x_{j+k-n;2n-k+1} \\
&\quad -(x_{j+k-n;2n-k} - x_{j+k-n;2n-k+1} - x_{j+k-n+1;2n-k-1} + x_{j+k-n+1;2n-k}) \\
&= x_{j+k-n+1;2n-k-1} - x_{j+k-n+1;2n-k} \\
&= \varphi_{j;k}(x_{j;1}).
\end{aligned}$$

□

Lemma 3.4. *$\varphi_{j;k}(x_{j;1})$ is closed under the actions of all transformations $S_{m;l}$ for any $m \geq 1, l \geq 1$.*

Proof. Using the definition of $\varphi_{j;k}$ (3.1) and the formula (3.2) in Lemma 3.3, if $k = 0$, $\varphi_{j;0} = id$ and we have

$$S_{m;l}(\varphi_{j;0}(x_{j;1})) = \begin{cases} \varphi_{j;1}(x_{j;1}) & if \ \ (m;l) = (j;1), \\ x_{j;1} & other\ wise. \end{cases}$$

If $1 \leq k \leq n-1$, we have

$$S_{m;l}(\varphi_{j;k}(x_{j;1})) = \begin{cases} \varphi_{j;k-1}(x_{j;1}) & if \ \ (m;l) = (j;k), \\ \varphi_{j;k+1}(x_{j;1}) & if \ \ (m;l) = (j;k+1), \\ \varphi_{j;k}(x_{j;1}) & other\ wise. \end{cases}$$

If $n \leq k \leq 2n-1$, we have

$$S_{m;l}(\varphi_{j;k}(x_{j;1})) = \begin{cases} \varphi_{j;k-1}(x_{j;1}) & if \ \ (m;l) = (j+k-n;2n-k), \\ \varphi_{j;k+1}(x_{j;1}) & if \ \ (m;l) = (j+k-n+1;2n-k-1), \\ \varphi_{j;k}(x_{j;1}) & other\ wise. \end{cases}$$

□

Now, we define

$$\begin{aligned}
\Xi_\iota &:= \{\ \varphi_{j;k}(x_{j;1}) : j \geq 1,\ 0 \leq k \leq 2n-1\}, \\
\Sigma_\iota &:= \{\ \vec{x} \in \mathbb{Z}_\iota^\infty : \varphi(\vec{x}) \geq 0 \text{ for any } \varphi \in \Xi_\iota\ \}.
\end{aligned}$$

Theorem 3.5. *Polyhedral realization Σ_ι of $B(\infty)$ for type B_n is described as below:*

$$\begin{aligned}
& x_{j;i} = 0 \quad for \ \ j, i \notin [1,n], \\
& x_{1;i} \geq x_{2;i-1} \geq \cdots \geq x_{i;1} \geq 0 \quad for \ \ 1 \leq i \leq n-1, \\
& x_{j;n} \geq x_{j+1;n-1} \geq \cdots \geq x_{n;j} \geq 0 \quad for \ \ 1 \leq j \leq n, \\
& x_{j;n-j+1} \geq x_{j;n-j+2} \geq \cdots \geq x_{j;n} \geq 0 \quad for \ \ 2 \leq j \leq n.
\end{aligned}$$

Proof. First, we show that Σ_ι is the polyhedral realization of $B(\infty)$, so we shall check the conditions of Theorem 3.1. Ξ_ι is closed under the action of S_k by Lemma 3.4. The coefficients of $x_{1;i}$ $(i = 1, 2, \cdots, n)$ are positive for $\varphi \in \Xi_\iota$ by Lemma 3.3, and this shows that ι satisfies the "positivity assumption".

We will show that all entries of $\vec{x} \in \Sigma_\iota$ are nonnegative. In the case of $m \geq 1$, $0 \leq l \leq n-1$ for $\varphi_{m;l}(x_{m;1})$, we have

$$x_{m;l+1} \geq x_{m+1;l}$$

and we consider the cases that $(m;l) = (j;i-1), (j+1;i-2), \cdots, (j+i-2;1), (j+i-1;0)$ for any $j \geq 1$, $1 \leq i \leq n$, then we obtain

$$x_{j;i} \geq x_{j+1;i-1} \geq \cdots \geq x_{j+i-2;2} \geq x_{j+i-1;1} \geq 0.$$

This shows that $x_{j;i} \geq 0$ for any $j \geq 1$, $1 \leq i \leq n$. Therefore, Σ_ι is the polyhedral realization of $B(\infty)$.

Next we determine when $x_{j;i}\equiv 0$ for $j\geq 1$, $1\leq i\leq n$. In the case of $m\geq 1$, $n\leq l\leq 2n-1$ for $\varphi_{m;l}(x_{m;1})$ we have

$$x_{m+l-n+1;2n-l-1}\geq x_{m+l-n+1;2n-l}$$

and consider the cases that $(m;l)=(j;2n-1),(j+1;2n-2),\cdots,(j+n-1;n)$. Then we have

$$0\geq x_{j+n;1}\geq x_{j+n;2}\geq\cdots\geq x_{j+n;n-1}\geq x_{j+n;n}\geq 0$$

and $x_{j+n;i}\equiv 0$ for any $j\geq 1$, $1\leq i\leq n$. This shows that Σ_ι is the form of Theorem 3.5.

□

Next, we give the polyhedral realization $\Sigma_\iota[\lambda]$ for $\lambda:=\sum_{k=1}^n\lambda_k\Lambda_k$, where $\lambda_k\in\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$, Λ_k are the fundamental weights. Here we set

$$\begin{aligned}
\Xi_\iota^{(1,n-1)} &:= \{S_{j_k}\cdots S_{j_2}S_{j_1}\xi^{(i)}(\vec{x}) : k\geq 0,\ 1\leq i\leq n-1,\ j_1,\cdots,j_k\geq 1\},\\
\Xi_\iota^{(n)} &:= \{S_{j_k}\cdots S_{j_2}S_{j_1}\xi^{(n)}(\vec{x}) : k\geq 0,\ j_1,\cdots,j_k\geq 1\},\\
\Xi_\iota^{(1,n-1)}[\lambda] &:= \{\hat{S}_{j_k}\cdots \hat{S}_{j_2}\hat{S}_{j_1}\lambda^{(i)}(\vec{x}) : k\geq 0,\ 1\leq i\leq n-1,\ j_1,\cdots,j_k\geq 1\},\\
\Xi_\iota^{(n)}[\lambda] &:= \{\hat{S}_{j_k}\cdots \hat{S}_{j_2}\hat{S}_{j_1}\lambda^{(n)}(\vec{x}) : k\geq 0,\ j_1,\cdots,j_k\geq 1\},\\
\Xi_\iota[\lambda] &:= \Xi_\iota\cup\Xi_\iota^{(1,n-1)}[\lambda]\cup\Xi_\iota^{(n)}[\lambda]\\
&= \{\ \varphi_{j;k}(x_{j;1}) : j\geq 1,\ 0\leq k\leq 2n-1\}\cup\{\hat{S}_{j_k}\cdots \hat{S}_{j_2}\hat{S}_{j_1}\lambda^{(i)}(\vec{x}) : k\geq 0,\ 1\leq i\leq n-1,\ j_1,\cdots,j_k\geq 1\}\\
&\quad\ \cup\{\hat{S}_{j_k}\cdots \hat{S}_{j_2}\hat{S}_{j_1}\lambda^{(n)}(\vec{x}) : k\geq 0,\ j_1,\cdots,j_k\geq 1\},\\
\Sigma_\iota[\lambda] &:= \{\vec{x}\in\mathbb{Z}_\iota[\lambda] : \varphi(\vec{x})\geq 0\ \text{ for any }\ \varphi\in\Xi_\iota[\lambda]\}.
\end{aligned}$$

In order to show that $\Sigma_\iota[\lambda]$ is the polyhedral realization of $B(\lambda)$, we give the explicit forms of $\Xi_\iota^{(1,n-1)}[\lambda]$ and $\Xi_\iota^{(n)}[\lambda]$. Since the Dynkin diagrams for A_n and B_n are the same for $1\geq i\geq n-1$, we give the explicit form of $\Xi_\iota^{(1,n-1)}$ by the result in [NZ] as follwos:

$$\Xi_\iota^{(1,n-1)}=\{x_{j;i-j}-x_{j;i-j+1} : 1\leq i\leq n-1,\ 1\leq j\leq i\}. \tag{3.3}$$

For giving the explicit form of $\Xi_\iota^{(n)}$, we define the "admissible patterns" for the integer sequence $\mu_1,\mu_2,\cdots,\mu_k,\cdots,\mu_n$ for $1\leq k\leq n$ as follows:

$$\begin{cases}1\leq\mu_1\leq n,\\ 0\leq\mu_2\leq\mu_1-1,\\ \qquad\cdots,\\ 0\leq\mu_k\leq\mu_{k-1}-1,\\ \qquad\cdots,\end{cases} \tag{3.4}$$

where if μ_k does not exist, we define $\mu_k=0$, and

$$\begin{aligned}
S^{(\mu_1)} &:= \begin{cases}id & (\mu_1=1),\\ S_{\mu_1;n-\mu_1}\cdots S_{2;n-2}S_{1;n-1} & (\mu_1\geq 2),\end{cases}\\
S^{(\mu_k)} &:= \begin{cases}id & (\mu_k=0)\\ S_{\mu_k+k-2;n-\mu_k+1}\cdots S_{k;n-1}S_{k-1;n} & (\mu_k\geq 1)\end{cases}\ \text{ for }\ k\geq 2,\\
\varphi^{(\mu)} &:= S^{(\mu_k)}\cdots S^{(\mu_2)}S^{(\mu_1)}\ \text{ for }\ \mu=(\mu_1,\mu_2,\cdots,\mu_k,0,0,\cdots).
\end{aligned}$$

We prepare the symbol X as follows:

$$X:=2x_{1;n-1}-x_{1;n}. \tag{3.5}$$

For convenience, we define

$$X_{j;i}:=\begin{cases}2x_{j;i} & \text{if }\ i\neq n,\\ x_{j;n} & \text{if }\ i=n.\end{cases} \tag{3.6}$$

Theorem 3.6. *Let* $\mu = (\mu_1, \mu_2, \cdots, \mu_k, 0, 0, \cdots)$ *be the admissible pattern.*
(*i*) *The forms* $\varphi^{(\mu)}X$ *are given by*

$$\varphi^{(\mu)}X = \sum_{k=1}^{l} (X_{\mu_k+k-1;n-\mu_k} - X_{\mu_k+k-1;n-\mu_k+1}), \tag{3.7}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} L &:= max\{k : \mu_k \neq 0\}, \\ l &:= \begin{cases} L & \text{if } \mu_L = 1, \\ L+1 & \text{if } \mu_L \geq 2. \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

(*ii*) $\Xi_l^{(n)}$ *is the set of all linear forms which are consisted by* $\varphi^{(\mu)}X$*, where* μ *are the admissible patterns.*

Proof. (i) First, we give a remark. When $\mu_k - \mu_{k+1} = 1$, the terms $X_{\mu_k+k-1;n-\mu_k+1}$ and $X_{\mu_{k+1}+k;n-\mu_{k+1}}$ in the sum (3.7) are canceled as $-X_{\mu_k+k-1;n-\mu_k+1} + X_{\mu_{k+1}+k;n-\mu_{k+1}} = 0$. We show the theorem by the induction on $|\mu| = \mu_1 + \mu_2 + \cdots + \mu_i$ for $\mu = (\mu_1, \mu_2, \cdots, \mu_i, 0, 0, \cdots)$.

If $|\mu| = 1$, then $l = 1$ and the sum of right hand side of (3.7) is $X_{1;n-1} - X_{1;n} = 2x_{1;n-1} - x_{1;n}$ and equal to $\varphi^{(\mu)}X$ by (3.5). We assume that $|\mu| = \mu_1 + \mu_2 + \cdots + \mu_i = k - 1$ for $\mu = (\mu_1, \mu_2, \cdots, \mu_i, 0, 0, \cdots)$. We consider the two cases: I) $\mu_i \to \mu_i + 1$, II) "$\mu_{i+1} = 0$" → "$\mu_{i+1} = 1$".

I) $\mu_i \to \mu_i + 1$.
In this case, $l = i + 1$ and we note that $1 \leq \mu_i \leq \mu_{i-1} - 2$ by admissible pattern of μ and the term $X_{\mu_i+i-1;n-\mu_i}$ in the sum (3.7) is not canceled. We set $\mu' := (\mu_1, \mu_2, \cdots, \mu_i + 1, 0, 0, \cdots)$. We have

$$\varphi^{(\mu')}X = S_{\mu_i+i-1;n-\mu_i}\varphi^{(\mu)}X.$$

The right hand side of (3.7) is

$$\begin{aligned} & S_{\mu_i+i-1;n-\mu_i}\Big(\sum_{k=1}^{l}(X_{\mu_k+k-1;n-\mu_k} - X_{\mu_k+k-1;n-\mu_k+1})\Big) \\ = \quad & \sum_{k=1}^{l}(X_{\mu_k+k-1;n-\mu_k} - X_{\mu_k+k-1;n-\mu_k+1}) \\ & -(X_{\mu_i+i-1;n-\mu_i} - X_{\mu_i+i-1;n-\mu_i+1} - X_{\mu_i+i;n-\mu_i-1} + X_{\mu_i+i;n-\mu_i}) \\ = \quad & \varphi^{(\mu')}X. \end{aligned}$$

II) "$\mu_{i+1} = 0$" → "$\mu_{i+1} = 1$".
We set $\mu' := (\mu_1, \mu_2, \cdots, \mu_i, 1, 0, \cdots)$ (*i.e.* $\mu_{i+1} = 1$) and then $l = i + 1$. By the admissible pattern of μ, we have $0 \leq \mu_{i+1} \leq \mu_i - 1$. This shows that $\mu_i \geq 2$ and the term $X_{i;n}$ in the sum (3.7) is not canceled. We have

$$\varphi^{(\mu')}X = S_{i;n}\varphi^{(\mu)}X.$$

The right hand side of (3.7) is

$$\begin{aligned} & S_{i;n}\Big(\sum_{k=1}^{l}(X_{\mu_k+k-1;n-\mu_k} - X_{\mu_k+k-1;n-\mu_k+1})\Big) \\ = \quad & \sum_{k=1}^{l}(X_{\mu_k+k-1;n-\mu_k} - X_{\mu_k+k-1;n-\mu_k+1}) - (X_{i;n} - X_{i+1;n-1} + X_{i+1;n}) \\ = \quad & \varphi^{(\mu')}X. \end{aligned}$$

(ii) We sufficiently need to show that $\Xi_l^{(n)}$ is closed under the actions of all $S_{j;i}$. We set for $1 \leq k \leq l + 1$,
$\mu = (\mu_1, \mu_2, \cdots, \mu_l, 0, 0, \cdots)$, $\mu^+ = (\mu_1, \mu_2, \cdots, \mu_k + 1, \cdots, \mu_l, 0, 0, \cdots)$,

$\mu^- = (\mu_1, \mu_2, \cdots, \mu_k - 1, \cdots, \mu_l, 0, 0, \cdots)$.
Then we have

$$S_{j;i}\varphi^{(\mu)}X = \begin{cases} \varphi^{(\mu^+)}X & \text{if } (j;i) = (\mu_k + k - 1; n - \mu_k) \text{ and } \mu_{k-1} - \mu_k \neq 1, \\ \varphi^{(\mu^-)}X & \text{if } (j;i) = (\mu_k + k - 1; n - \mu_k + 1) \text{ and } \mu_k - \mu_{k+1} \neq 1, \\ \varphi^{(\mu)}X & \text{other wise.} \end{cases}$$

□

Therefore, ι satisfies the strict positivity assumption by the explicit forms of $\Xi_\iota^{(1,n-1)}$ and $\Xi_\iota^{(n)}$. By the Lemma 2.6, this shows that

$$\begin{aligned} \Xi_\iota^{(1,n-1)}[\lambda] &= \{\lambda_i + x_{j;i-j} - x_{j;i-j+1} : 1 \leq i \leq n-1,\ 1 \leq j \leq i\}, \\ \Xi_\iota^{(n)}[\lambda] &= \{\lambda_n + \sum_{k=1}^{l}(X_{\mu_k+k-1;n-\mu_k} - X_{\mu_k+k-1;n-\mu_k+1}) : \mu \text{ are the admissible patterns }\} \end{aligned}$$

by (3.3), (3.7) and $\Sigma_\iota[\lambda]$ is the polyhedral realization of $B(\lambda)$.

3.2 C_n case

We consider the case of type C_n. First, we give the polyhedral realization Σ_ι of $B(\infty)$. We define for $j \geq 1$, $0 \leq k \leq 2n-1$

$$\varphi_{j;k} := \begin{cases} id & (k = 0), \\ S_{j;k}S_{j;k-1}\cdots S_{j;2}S_{j;1} & (1 \leq k \leq n-1), \\ S_{j+k-n;2n-k}S_{j+k-1-n;2n-k+1}\cdots S_{j+1;n-1}S_{j;n}\varphi_{j;n-1} & (n \leq k \leq 2n-1). \end{cases}$$

Lemma 3.7.

$$\varphi_{j;k}(x_{j;1}) = \begin{cases} x_{j;k+1} - x_{j+1;k} & (0 \leq k \leq n-2), \\ 2x_{j;n} - x_{j+1;n-1} & (k = n-1), \\ x_{j+1;n-1} - 2x_{j+1;n} & (k = n), \\ x_{j+k-n+1;2n-k-1} - x_{j+k-n+1;2n-k} & (n+1 \leq k \leq 2n-1). \end{cases}$$

Proof. By the induction on k. The case of $k = 0$ is trivial.

Case I) $1 \leq k \leq n-2$.

If $k = 1$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} S_{j;1}(x_{j;1}) &= x_{j;1} - (x_{j;1} - x_{j;2} + x_{j+1;1}) \\ &= x_{j;2} - x_{j+1;1} \\ &= \varphi_{j;1}(x_{j;1}). \end{aligned}$$

If $1 < k \leq n-2$, we assume that $\varphi_{j;k-1}(x_{j;1}) = x_{j;k} - x_{j+1;k-1}$. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} S_{j;k}\varphi_{j;k-1}(x_{j;1}) &= S_{j;k}(x_{j;k} - x_{j+1;k-1}) \\ &= x_{j;k} - x_{j+1;k-1} - (x_{j;k} - x_{j;k+1} - x_{j+1;k-1} + x_{j+1;k}) \\ &= x_{j;k+1} - x_{j+1;k} \\ &= \varphi_{j;k}(x_{j;1}). \end{aligned}$$

Case II) $k = n-1, n$.

If $k = n-1$, using the result of Case I), we have

$$\begin{aligned} S_{j;n-1}\varphi_{j;n-2}(x_{j;1}) &= S_{j;n-1}(x_{j;n-1} - x_{j+1;n-2}) \\ &= x_{j;n-1} - x_{j+1;n-2} - (x_{j;n-1} - 2x_{j;n} - x_{j+1;n-2} + x_{j+1;n-1}) \\ &= 2x_{j;n} - x_{j+1;n-1} \\ &= \varphi_{j;n-1}(x_{j;1}), \end{aligned}$$

and then if $k = n$,

$$\begin{aligned} S_{j;n}\varphi_{j;n-1}(x_{j;1}) &= S_{j;n}(2x_{j;n} - x_{j+1;n-1}) \\ &= 2x_{j;n} - x_{j+1;n-1} - 2(x_{j;n} - x_{j+1;n-1} + x_{j+1;n}) \\ &= x_{j+1;n-1} - 2x_{j+1;n} \\ &= \varphi_{j;n}(x_{j;1}). \end{aligned}$$

Case III) $n+1 \le k \le 2n-1$.

If $k = n+1$, using the result of the case of $k = n$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} S_{j+1;n-1}\varphi_{j;n}(x_{j;1}) &= S_{j+1;n-1}(x_{j+1;n-1} - 2x_{j+1;n}) \\ &= x_{j+1;n-1} - 2x_{j+1;n} - (x_{j+1;n-1} - 2x_{j+1;n} - x_{j+2;n-2} + x_{j+2;n-1}) \\ &= x_{j+2;n-2} - x_{j+2;n-1} \\ &= \varphi_{j;n+1}(x_{j;1}). \end{aligned}$$

If $n+1 < k \le 2n-1$, we assume that $\varphi_{j;k-1}(x_{j;1}) = x_{j+k-n;2n-k} - x_{j+k-n;2n-k+1}$. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} S_{j+k-n;2n-k}\varphi_{j;k-1}(x_{j;1}) &= S_{j+k-n;2n-k}(x_{j+k-n;2n-k} - x_{j+k-n;2n-k+1}) \\ &= x_{j+k-n;2n-k} - x_{j+k-n;2n-k+1} \\ &\quad -(x_{j+k-n;2n-k} - x_{j+k-n;2n-k+1} - x_{j+k-n+1;2n-k-1} + x_{j+k-n+1;2n-k}) \\ &= x_{j+k-n+1;2n-k-1} - x_{j+k-n+1;2n-k} \\ &= \varphi_{j;k}(x_{j;1}). \end{aligned}$$

□

Lemma 3.8. *$\varphi_{j;k}(x_{j;1})$ is closed under the actions of all transformations $S_{m;l}$ for any $m \ge 1, l \ge 1$.*

Proof. Using the definition of $\varphi_{j;k}$ (3.8) and the formula (3.8) in Lemma 3.7, if $k = 0$, $\varphi_{j;0} = id$ and we have

$$S_{m;l}(\varphi_{j;0}(x_{j;1})) = \begin{cases} \varphi_{j;1}(x_{j;1}) & if \ \ (m;l) = (j;1), \\ x_{j;1} & other\ wise. \end{cases}$$

If $1 \le k \le n-1$, we have

$$S_{m;l}(\varphi_{j;k}(x_{j;1})) = \begin{cases} \varphi_{j;k-1}(x_{j;1}) & if \ \ (m;l) = (j;k), \\ \varphi_{j;k+1}(x_{j;1}) & if \ \ (m;l) = (j;k+1), \\ \varphi_{j;k}(x_{j;1}) & other\ wise. \end{cases}$$

If $n \le k \le 2n-1$, we have

$$S_{m;l}(\varphi_{j;k}(x_{j;1})) = \begin{cases} \varphi_{j;k-1}(x_{j;1}) & if \ \ (m;l) = (j+k-n;2n-k), \\ \varphi_{j;k+1}(x_{j;1}) & if \ \ (m;l) = (j+k-n+1;2n-k-1), \\ \varphi_{j;k}(x_{j;1}) & other\ wise. \end{cases}$$

□

Now, we define

$$\begin{aligned}\Xi_\iota &:= \{\,\varphi_{j;k}(x_{j;1}) : j \geq 1,\ 0 \leq k \leq 2n-1\},\\ \Sigma_\iota &:= \{\,\vec{x} \in \mathbb{Z}_\iota^\infty \mid \varphi(\vec{x}) \geq 0 \text{ for any } \varphi \in \Xi_\iota\,\}.\end{aligned}$$

Theorem 3.9. *Polyhedral realization Σ_ι of $B(\infty)$ for type C_n is described as below:*

$$\begin{aligned}&x_{j;i} = 0 \quad \text{for } j, i \notin [1,n],\\ &x_{1;i} \geq x_{2;i-1} \geq \cdots \geq x_{i;1} \geq 0 \quad \text{for } 1 \leq i \leq n-1,\\ &2x_{j;n} \geq x_{j+1;n-1} \geq \cdots \geq x_{n;j} \geq 0 \quad \text{for } 1 \leq j \leq n-1,\\ &x_{j;n-j+1} \geq x_{j;n-j+2} \geq \cdots \geq x_{j;n-1} \geq 2x_{j;n} \geq 0 \quad \text{for } 2 \leq j \leq n.\end{aligned}$$

Proof. We shall show that Σ_ι is the polyhedral realization of $B(\infty)$ and check the conditions of Theorem 3.1. Ξ_ι is closed under the action of S_k by Lemma 3.15. The coefficients of $x_{1;i}$ $(i = 1, 2, \cdots, n)$ are positive for $\varphi \in \Xi_\iota$ by Lemma 3.7. This shows that ι satisfies the positivity assumption.

We shall show that all entries of $\vec{x} \in \Sigma_\iota$ are nonnegative. In the case of $m \geq 1$, $0 \leq l \leq n-2$ for $\varphi_{m;l}(x_{m;1})$, we have

$$x_{m;l+1} \geq x_{m+1;l}$$

and we consider the cases that $(m;l) = (j;i-1), (j+1;i-2), \cdots, (j+i-2;1), (j+i-1;0)$, then we obtain

$$x_{j;i} \geq x_{j+1;i-1} \geq \cdots \geq x_{j+i-2;2} \geq x_{j+i-1;1} \geq 0. \tag{3.8}$$

This shows that $x_{j;i} \geq 0$ for any $j \geq 1$, $1 \leq i \leq n-1$. Similarly, for any $j \geq 1$ and $l = n-1$, we have

$$2x_{j;n} \geq x_{j+1;n-1}\ (\geq 0).$$

This shows $x_{j;n} \geq 0$ for any $j \geq 1$. Therefore, Σ_ι is the polyhedral realization of $B(\infty)$.

On the other hand, in the case of $(m;l) = (j+n-1;n)$ for $\varphi_{m;l}(x_{m;1})$, we obtain

$$x_{j+n;n-1} \geq 2x_{j+n;n}$$

and in the case of $m \geq 1$, $n+1 \leq l \leq 2n-1$, we have

$$x_{m+l-n+1;2n-l-1} \geq x_{m+l-n+1;2n-l}.$$

We consider the cases that $(m;l) = (j;2n-1), (j+1;2n-2), \cdots, (j+n-2;n+1)$, then we have

$$0 \geq x_{j+n;1} \geq x_{j+n;2} \geq \cdots \geq x_{j+n;n-2} \geq x_{j+n;n-1} \geq 2x_{j+n;n} \geq 0.$$

This shows that $x_{j+n;i} \equiv 0$ for any $j \geq 1$, $1 \leq i \leq n$.

□

Next, we give the polyhedral realization $\Sigma_\iota[\lambda]$ of $B(\lambda)$. We prepare the following symbols:

$$X := x_{1;n-1} - x_{1;n}, \tag{3.9}$$

$$X_{j;i} := \begin{cases} x_{j;i} & \text{if } i \neq n,\\ 2x_{j;n} & \text{if } i = n.\end{cases} \tag{3.10}$$

Then, we can show that the polyhedral realization $\Sigma_\iota[\lambda]$ is given by the replacing $x_{j;i}$ of B_n with $X_{j;i}$.

3.3 D_n case

We consider the case of type D_n. First, we give the polyhedral realization Σ_ι of $B(\infty)$. We define for $j \geq 1$, $0 \leq k \leq 2n-2$

$$\varphi_{j;k} := \begin{cases} id & (k=0), \\ S_{j;k}S_{j;k-1}\cdots S_{j;2}S_{j;1} & (0 \leq k \leq n), \\ S_{j+k-n;2n-k-1}S_{j+k-1-n;2n-k}\cdots S_{j+2;n-3}S_{j+1;n-2}\varphi_{j;n} & (n+1 \leq k \leq 2n-2). \end{cases}$$

$$\varphi'_{j;k} := \begin{cases} id & (k=0), \\ S_{j;k}S_{j;k-1}\cdots S_{j;2}S_{j;1} & (1 \leq k \leq n-2), \\ S_{j;n}\varphi'_{j;n-2} & (k=n-1), \\ S_{j;n-2}\varphi'_{j;n-1} & (k=n), \\ S_{j+k-n;2n-k-1}S_{j+k-1-n;2n-k}\cdots S_{j+2;n-3}S_{j+1;n-2}\varphi'_{j;n} & (n+1 \leq k \leq 2n-2). \end{cases}$$

Lemma 3.10.

$$\varphi_{j;k}(x_{j;1}) = \begin{cases} x_{j;k+1} - x_{j+1;k} & (0 \leq k \leq n-3), \\ x_{j;n-1} + x_{j;n} - x_{j+1;n-2} & (k=n-2), \\ x_{j;n} - x_{j+1;n-1} & (k=n-1), \\ x_{j+1;n-2} - x_{j+1;n-1} - x_{j+1;n} & (k=n), \\ x_{j+k-n+1;2n-k-2} - x_{j+k-n+1;2n-k-1} & (n+1 \leq k \leq 2n-2). \end{cases}$$

$$\varphi'_{j;k}(x_{j;1}) = \begin{cases} x_{j;n-1} - x_{j+1;n} & (k=n-1), \\ \varphi_{j;k}(x_{j;1}) & \text{other wise.} \end{cases}$$

Proof. We shall prove the case $\varphi_{j;k}$ by the induction on k since the other case can be proved by the same argument. The case of $k=0$ is trivial.

Case I) $1 \leq k \leq n-3$.

If $k=1$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} S_{j;1}(x_{j;1}) &= x_{j;1} - (x_{j;1} - x_{j;2} + x_{j+1;1}) \\ &= x_{j;2} - x_{j+1;1} \\ &= \varphi_{j;1}(x_{j;1}). \end{aligned}$$

If $1 < k \leq n-3$, we assume that $\varphi_{j;k-1}(x_{j;1}) = x_{j;k} - x_{j+1;k-1}$. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} S_{j;k}\varphi_{j;k-1}(x_{j;1}) &= S_{j;k}(x_{j;k} - x_{j+1;k-1}) \\ &= x_{j;k} - x_{j+1;k-1} - (x_{j;k} - x_{j;k+1} - x_{j+1;k-1} + x_{j+1;k}) \\ &= x_{j;k+1} - x_{j+1;k} \\ &= \varphi_{j;k}(x_{j;1}). \end{aligned}$$

Case II) $k = n-2, n-1, n$.

If $k = n-2$, using the result of Case I), we have

$$\begin{aligned} S_{j;n-2}\varphi_{j;n-3}(x_{j;1}) &= S_{j;n-2}(x_{j;n-2} - x_{j+1;n-3}) \\ &= x_{j;n-2} - x_{j+1;n-3} - (x_{j;n-2} - x_{j;n-1} - x_{j;n} - x_{j+1;n-3} + x_{j+1;n-2}) \\ &= x_{j;n-1} + x_{j;n} - x_{j+1;n-2} \\ &= \varphi_{j;n-2}(x_{j;1}), \end{aligned}$$

and then if $k = n-1$,

$$\begin{aligned} S_{j;n-1}\varphi_{j;n-2}(x_{j;1}) &= S_{j;n-1}(x_{j;n-1} + x_{j;n} - x_{j+1;n-2}) \\ &= x_{j;n-1} + x_{j;n} - x_{j+1;n-2} - (x_{j;n-1} - x_{j+1;n-2} + x_{j+1;n-1}) \\ &= x_{j;n} - x_{j+1;n-1} \\ &= \varphi_{j;n-1}(x_{j;1}). \end{aligned}$$

If $k = n$, then we have

$$\begin{aligned} S_{j;n}\varphi_{j;n-1}(x_{j;1}) &= S_{j;n}(x_{j;n} - x_{j+1;n-1}) \\ &= x_{j;n} - x_{j+1;n-1} - (x_{j;n} - x_{j+1;n-2} + x_{j+1;n}) \\ &= x_{j+1;n-2} - x_{j+1;n-1} - x_{j+1;n} \\ &= \varphi_{j;n}(x_{j;1}). \end{aligned}$$

Case III) $n+1 \leq k \leq 2n-2$.

If $k = n+1$, using the result of the case of $k = n$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} S_{j+1;n-2}\varphi_{j;n}(x_{j;1}) &= S_{j+1;n-2}(x_{j+1;n-2} - x_{j+1;n-1} - x_{j+1;n}) \\ &= x_{j+1;n-2} - x_{j+1;n-1} - x_{j+1;n} \\ &\quad -(x_{j+1;n-2} - x_{j+1;n-1} - x_{j+1;n} - x_{j+2;n-3} + x_{j+2;n-2}) \\ &= x_{j+2;n-3} - x_{j+2;n-2} \\ &= \varphi_{j;n+1}(x_{j;1}). \end{aligned}$$

If $n+1 < k \leq 2n-2$, we assume that $\varphi_{j;k-1}(x_{j;1}) = x_{j+k-n;2n-k-1} - x_{j+k-n;2n-k}$. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} S_{j+k-n;2n-k-1}\varphi_{j;k-1}(x_{j;1}) &= S_{j+k-n;2n-k-1}(x_{j+k-n;2n-k-1} - x_{j+k-n;2n-k}) \\ &= x_{j+k-n;2n-k-1} - x_{j+k-n;2n-k} \\ &\quad -(x_{j+k-n;2n-k-1} - x_{j+k-n;2n-k} - x_{j+k-n+1;2n-k-2} + x_{j+k-n+1;2n-k-1}) \\ &= x_{j+k-n+1;2n-k-2} - x_{j+k-n+1;2n-k-1}) \\ &= \varphi_{j;k}(x_{j;1}). \end{aligned}$$

□

Lemma 3.11. *The set of $\varphi_{j;k}(x_{j;1})$ and $\varphi'_{j;k}(x_{j;1})$ is closed under the actions of all transformations $S_{m;l}$ for any $m \geq 1, l \geq 1$.*

Proof. First, we consider the action of $S_{m;l}$ to $\varphi_{j;k}(x_{j;1})$. If $k = 0$, $\varphi_{j;0} = id$ and we have

$$S_{m;l}(\varphi_{j;0}(x_{j;1})) = \begin{cases} \varphi_{j;1}(x_{j;1}) & if\ \ (m;l) = (j;1), \\ x_{j;1} & other\ wise. \end{cases}$$

If $1 \leq k \leq n-3$, we have

$$S_{m;l}(\varphi_{j;k}(x_{j;1})) = \begin{cases} \varphi_{j;k-1}(x_{j;1}) & if\ \ (m;l) = (j;k), \\ \varphi_{j;k+1}(x_{j;1}) & if\ \ (m;l) = (j;k+1), \\ \varphi_{j;k}(x_{j;1}) & other\ wise. \end{cases}$$

If $k = n-2$, we have

$$S_{m;l}(\varphi_{j;n-2}(x_{j;1})) = \begin{cases} \varphi_{j;n-3}(x_{j;1}) & if\ \ (m;l) = (j+1;n-2), \\ \varphi_{j;n-1}(x_{j;1}) & if\ \ (m;l) = (j;n-1), \\ \varphi'_{j;n-1}(x_{j;1}) & if\ \ (m;l) = (j;n), \\ \varphi_{j;n-2}(x_{j;1}) & other\ wise. \end{cases} \tag{3.11}$$

If $k = n-1$, we have

$$S_{m;l}(\varphi_{j;n-1}(x_{j;1})) = \begin{cases} \varphi_{j;n-2}(x_{j;1}) & if \ \ (m;l) = (j+1; n-1), \\ \varphi_{j;n}(x_{j;1}) & if \ \ (m;l) = (j;n), \\ \varphi_{j;n-1}(x_{j;1}) & other\ wise. \end{cases}$$

If $k = n$, we have

$$S_{m;l}(\varphi_{j;n}(x_{j;1})) = \begin{cases} \varphi_{j;n-1}(x_{j;1}) & if \ \ (m;l) = (j+1;n), \\ \varphi'_{j;n-1}(x_{j;1}) & if \ \ (m;l) = (j+1;n-1), \\ \varphi_{j;n+1}(x_{j;1}) & if \ \ (m;l) = (j+1;n-2), \\ \varphi_{j;n}(x_{j;1}) & other\ wise. \end{cases} \tag{3.12}$$

If $n+1 \leq k \leq 2n-2$, we have

$$S_{m;l}(\varphi_{j;k}(x_{j;1})) = \begin{cases} \varphi_{j;k-1}(x_{j;1}) & if \ \ (m;l) = (j+k-n+1; 2n-k-1), \\ \varphi_{j;k+1}(x_{j;1}) & if \ \ (m;l) = (j+k-n+1; 2n-k-2), \\ \varphi_{j;k}(x_{j;1}) & other\ wise. \end{cases}$$

Next, we consider the action of $S_{m;l}$ to $\varphi'_{j;k}(x_{j;1})$. Since the difference of $\varphi_{j;k}(x_{j;1})$ and $\varphi'_{j;k}(x_{j;1})$ is only the case of $k = n-1$ and by (3.11), (3.12), we consider the case of $k = n-1$.

$$S_{m;l}(\varphi'_{j;n-1}(x_{j;1})) = \begin{cases} \varphi'_{j;n-2}(x_{j;1}) \ (= \varphi_{j;n-2}(x_{j;1})) & if \ \ (m;l) = (j+1;n), \\ \varphi'_{j;n}(x_{j;1}) \ (= \varphi_{j;n}(x_{j;1})) & if \ \ (m;l) = (j;n-1), \\ \varphi'_{j;n-1}(x_{j;1}) & other\ wise. \end{cases}$$

□

Now, we define

$$\begin{aligned} \Xi'_\iota &:= \{ \ \varphi_{j;k}(x_{j;1}) : j \geq 1, \ 0 \leq k \leq 2n-2 \}, \\ \Xi''_\iota &:= \{ x_{j;n-1} : j \geq 1 \} \cup \{ x_{j;n} : j \geq 1 \}, \\ \Xi_\iota &:= \Xi'_\iota \cup \Xi''_\iota, \\ \Sigma_\iota &:= \{ \ \vec{x} \in \mathbb{Z}^\infty_\iota : \varphi(\vec{x}) \geq 0 \text{ for any } \varphi \in \Xi_\iota \ \}. \end{aligned}$$

Theorem 3.12. *Polyhedral realization Σ_ι of $B(\infty)$ for type D_n is described as below:*

$$\begin{aligned} & x_{j;i} = 0 \quad for \ \ j \notin [1, n-1] \ \ or \ \ i \notin [1,n], \\ & x_{1;i} \geq x_{2;i-1} \geq \cdots \geq x_{i;1} \geq 0 \quad for \ \ 1 \leq i \leq n-2, \\ & x_{j;n-1} + x_{j;n} \geq x_{j+1;n-2} \geq x_{j+2;n-3} \cdots \geq x_{n-1;j} \geq 0 \quad for \ \ 1 \leq j \leq n-2, \\ & x_{j;n-j} \geq x_{j;n-j+1} \geq \cdots \geq x_{j;n-2} \geq x_{j;n-1} + x_{j;n} \geq 0 \quad for \ \ 2 \leq j \leq n-1, \\ & \begin{cases} x_{1;n-1} \geq x_{2;n} \geq x_{3;n-1} \geq x_{4;n} \geq \cdots \geq x_{n-1;n} \geq 0 \\ x_{1;n} \geq x_{2;n-1} \geq x_{3;n} \geq x_{4;n-1} \geq \cdots \geq x_{n-1;n-1} \geq 0 \end{cases} \quad (n : odd) \\ & \left(\begin{cases} x_{1;n-1} \geq x_{2;n} \geq x_{3;n-1} \geq x_{4;n} \geq \cdots \geq x_{n-1;n-1} \geq 0 \\ x_{1;n} \geq x_{2;n-1} \geq x_{3;n} \geq x_{4;n-1} \geq \cdots \geq x_{n-1;n} \geq 0 \end{cases} \quad (resp. \ \ n : even) \right). \end{aligned}$$

Proof. Since Ξ_ι is not closed under the action of S_k, we shall show that
(i) Σ_ι is closed under the action of $\tilde{f}_i$,
(ii) Σ_ι is closed under the action of $\tilde{e}_i$,
(iii) all the entries of $\vec{x} \in \Sigma_\iota$ are nonnegative
(These shows that Σ_ι is the polyhedral realization of $B(\infty)$),

(iv) Σ_ι is the form of Theorem 3.12.

(i) We show that Σ_ι is closed under the action of $\tilde{f}_i$.

Note that ι satisfies the positivity assumption by Lemma 3.11. Let $\vec{x} = (\cdots, x_2, x_1) \in \Sigma_\iota$ and $i \in I$, and suppose that $\tilde{f}_i\vec{x} = (\cdots, x_k + 1, \cdots, x_2, x_1)$ for $i_k = i$. We need to show that

$$\varphi(\tilde{f}_i\vec{x}) \geq 0$$

for any $\varphi = \sum \varphi_j x_j \in \Xi_\iota$. First, we consider the case of $\varphi \in \Xi'_\iota$. Since $\varphi(\tilde{f}_i\vec{x}) = \varphi(\vec{x}) + \varphi_k$, it is enough to consider the case when $\varphi_k < 0$. Since ι satisfies the positivity assumption, we have $k^{(-)} \geq 1$. By (2.4), we have $\sigma_k(\vec{x}) > \sigma_{k^{(-)}}(\vec{x})$ and by (2.9), we conclude that

$$\beta_{k^{(-)}}(\vec{x}) = \sigma_{k^{(-)}}(\vec{x}) - \sigma_k(\vec{x}) \leq -1.$$

It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi(\tilde{f}_i\vec{x}) &= \varphi(\vec{x}) + \varphi_k \\ &\geq \varphi(\vec{x}) - 1 \cdot \beta_{k^{(-)}}(\vec{x}) \\ &= (S_k\varphi)(\vec{x}) \qquad (3.13) \\ &\geq 0 \qquad (3.14) \end{aligned}$$

since $S_k\varphi \in \Xi'_\iota$. This shows that Σ_ι is closed under the action of $\tilde{f}_i$ for $\varphi \in \Xi'_\iota$.

Next, we consider the case of $\varphi = x_{j;n-1} \in \Xi''_\iota$. We have

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi(\tilde{f}_i\vec{x}) &= x_{j;n-1} + 1 \\ &\geq 0. \end{aligned}$$

The case of $\varphi = x_{j;n} \in \Xi''_\iota$ can be poved by the same argument.

(ii) We show that Σ_ι is closed under the action of $\tilde{e}_i$.

We need to show that

$$\varphi(\tilde{e}_i\vec{x}) \geq 0$$

for any $\varphi \in \Xi_\iota$. First, we consider the case of $\varphi \in \Xi'_\iota$.

Since $\varphi(\tilde{e}_i\vec{x}) = \varphi(\vec{x}) - \varphi_k$, it is enough to consider the case when $\varphi_k > 0$. By (2.5), $\sigma_k(\vec{x}) > \sigma_{k^{(+)}}(\vec{x})$ and by (2.9), we conclude that

$$\beta_k(\vec{x}) = \sigma_k(\vec{x}) - \sigma_{k^{(+)}}(\vec{x}) \geq 1.$$

It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi(\tilde{e}_i\vec{x}) &= \varphi(\vec{x}) - \varphi_k \\ &\geq \varphi(\vec{x}) - 1 \cdot \beta_k(\vec{x}) \\ &= (S_k\varphi)(\vec{x}) \\ &\geq 0 \end{aligned}$$

since $S_k\varphi \in \Xi'_\iota$. This shows that Σ_ι is closed under the action of $\tilde{e}_i$ for $\varphi \in \Xi'_\iota$.

Next, we consider the case of $\varphi = x_{j;n-1} \in \Xi''_\iota$. It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi(\tilde{e}_i\vec{x}) &= x_{j;n-1}(\vec{x}) - 1 \\ &\geq x_{j;n-1}(\vec{x}) - 1 \cdot \beta_{j;n-1}(\vec{x}) \\ &= (S_{j;n-1}x_{j;n-1})(\vec{x}) \\ &= (x_{j+1;n-2} - x_{j+1;n-1})(\vec{x}) \\ &\geq x_{j+1;n}(\vec{x}) \quad (\textit{by Lemma } 3.10) \\ &\geq 0 \quad (\textit{by } \ x_{j+1;n} \in \Xi''_\iota). \end{aligned}$$

The case of $\varphi = x_{j;n} \in \Xi''_\iota$ can be poved by the same argument.

(iii) We show that all the entries of $\vec{x} \in \Sigma_\iota$ are nonnegative.

In the case of $m \geq 1$, $0 \leq l \leq n-3$ for $\varphi_{m;l}(x_{m;1})$, we have

$$x_{m;l+1} \geq x_{m+1;l},$$

and we consider the cases that $(m;l) = (j;i-1), (j+1;i-2), \cdots, (j+i-2;1), (j+i-1;0)$, then we obtain

$$x_{j;i} \geq x_{j+1;i-1} \geq \cdots \geq x_{j+i-2;2} \geq x_{j+i-1;1} \geq 0. \tag{3.15}$$

This shows that $x_{j;i} \geq 0$ for any $j \geq 1$, $1 \leq i \leq n-2$. By the definition of Ξ''_ι, we have $x_{j;n-1}$ and $x_{j;n} \geq 0$ for $j \geq 1$ and these shows $x_{j;n} \geq 0$ for any $j \geq 1$.

(iv) We determine when $x_{j;i} \equiv 0$ for $j \geq 1$, $1 \leq i \leq n$.

In the case of $m \geq 1$, $n+1 \leq l \leq 2n-2$ for $\varphi_{m;l}(x_{m;1})$, we have

$$x_{m+l-n+1;2n-l-2} \geq x_{m+l-n+1;2n-l-1}$$

and in the case of $l = n$,

$$x_{m+1;n-2} \geq x_{m+1;n-1} + x_{m+1;n} \geq 0 \ \ (\because\ x_{m+1;n-1} \geq 0 \text{ and } x_{m+1;n} \geq 0).$$

We consider the cases that $(m;l) = (j;2n-2), (j+1;2n-3), \cdots, (j+n-2;n)$, then we have

$$0 \geq x_{j+n-1;1} \geq x_{j+n-1;2} \geq \cdots \geq x_{j+n-1;n-3} \geq x_{j+n-1;n-2} \geq x_{j+n-1;n-1} + x_{j+n-1;n} \geq 0.$$

Combining $x_{j+n-1;n-1} \geq 0, x_{j+n-1;n} \geq 0$ this shows that $x_{j+n-1;i} \equiv 0$ for any $j \geq 1$, $1 \leq i \leq n$.

□

Next, we give the polyhedral realization $\Sigma_\iota[\lambda]$ of $B(\lambda)$ for $\lambda := \sum_{k=1}^n \lambda_k \Lambda_k$, where $\lambda_k \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$, Λ_k are the fundamental weights. Here we set

$$\begin{aligned}
\Xi_\iota^{(1,n-2)} &:= \{S_{j_k}\cdots S_{j_2}S_{j_1}\xi^{(i)}(\vec{x}) : k \geq 0,\ 1 \leq i \leq n-2,\ j_1,\cdots,j_k \geq 1\},\\
\Xi_\iota^{(n-1)} &:= \{S_{j_k}\cdots S_{j_2}S_{j_1}\xi^{(n-1)}(\vec{x}) : k \geq 0,\ j_1,\cdots,j_k \geq 1\},\\
\Xi_\iota^{(n)} &:= \{S_{j_k}\cdots S_{j_2}S_{j_1}\xi^{(n)}(\vec{x}) : k \geq 0,\ j_1,\cdots,j_k \geq 1\},\\
\Xi_\iota^{(1,n-2)}[\lambda] &:= \{\hat{S}_{j_k}\cdots \hat{S}_{j_2}\hat{S}_{j_1}\lambda^{(i)}(\vec{x}) : k \geq 0,\ 1 \leq i \leq n-2,\ j_1,\cdots,j_k \geq 1\},\\
\Xi_\iota^{(n-1)}[\lambda] &:= \{\hat{S}_{j_k}\cdots \hat{S}_{j_2}\hat{S}_{j_1}\lambda^{(n-1)}(\vec{x}) : k \geq 0,\ j_1,\cdots,j_k \geq 1\},\\
\Xi_\iota^{(n)}[\lambda] &:= \{\hat{S}_{j_k}\cdots \hat{S}_{j_2}\hat{S}_{j_1}\lambda^{(n)}(\vec{x}) : k \geq 0,\ j_1,\cdots,j_k \geq 1\},\\
\Xi_\iota[\lambda] &:= \Xi_\iota \cup \Xi_\iota^{(1,n-2)}[\lambda] \cup \Xi_\iota^{(n-1)}[\lambda] \cup \Xi_\iota^{(n)}[\lambda]\\
&= \{\ \varphi_{j;k}(x_{j;1}) : j \geq 1,\ 0 \leq k \leq 2n-1\} \cup \{\hat{S}_{j_k}\cdots \hat{S}_{j_2}\hat{S}_{j_1}\lambda^{(i)}(\vec{x}) : k \geq 0,\ 1 \leq i \leq n-2,\ j_1,\cdots,j_k \geq 1\}\\
&\quad \cup \{\hat{S}_{j_k}\cdots \hat{S}_{j_2}\hat{S}_{j_1}\lambda^{(n-1)}(\vec{x}) : k \geq 0,\ j_1,\cdots,j_k \geq 1\} \cup \{\hat{S}_{j_k}\cdots \hat{S}_{j_2}\hat{S}_{j_1}\lambda^{(n)}(\vec{x}) : k \geq 0,\ j_1,\cdots,j_k \geq 1\},\\
\Sigma_\iota[\lambda] &:= \{\vec{x} \in \mathbb{Z}_\iota[\lambda] : \varphi(\vec{x}) \geq 0 \ \text{ for any } \ \varphi \in \Xi_\iota[\lambda]\}.
\end{aligned}$$

In order to show that $\Sigma_\iota[\lambda]$ is the polyhedral realization of $B(\lambda)$, we give the explicit forms of $\Xi_\iota^{(1,n-2)}[\lambda]$, $\Xi_\iota^{(n-1)}[\lambda]$ and $\Xi_\iota^{(n)}[\lambda]$. Since the Dynkin diagrams for A_n and D_n are the same for $1 \leq i \leq n-2$, we give the explicit form of $\Xi_\iota^{(1,n-2)}$ as follwos:

$$\Xi_\iota^{(1,n-2)} = \{x_{j;i-j} - x_{j;i-j+1} : 1 \leq i \leq n-2,\ 1 \leq j \leq i\}. \tag{3.16}$$

For giving the explicit form of $\Xi_\iota^{(n-1)}$ and $\Xi_\iota^{(n)}$, we define the "admissible patterns" for the integer sequence $\mu_1, \mu_2, \cdots, \mu_k, \cdots, \mu_n$ for $1 \leq k \leq n-1$ as follows:

$$\begin{cases} 1 \leq \mu_1 \leq n-1, \\ 0 \leq \mu_2 \leq \mu_1 - 1, \\ \qquad \cdots, \\ 0 \leq \mu_k \leq \mu_{k-1} - 1, \\ \qquad \cdots, \end{cases} \tag{3.17}$$

where if μ_k does not exist, we define $\mu_k = 0$, and

$$
\begin{aligned}
S^{(\mu_1)} &:= \begin{cases} id & (\mu_1 = 1), \\ S_{\mu_1-1;n-\mu_1} \cdots S_{2;n-3}S_{1;n-2} & (\mu_1 \geq 2), \end{cases} \\
S^{(\mu_k)} &:= \begin{cases} id & (\mu_k = 0) \\ S_{\mu_k+k-2;n-\mu_k} \cdots S_{k+1;n-3}S_{k;n-2}S_{k-1;n} & (\mu_k \geq 1 \text{ and } k : \text{even }) \\ S_{\mu_k+k-2;n-\mu_k} \cdots S_{k+1;n-3}S_{k;n-2}S_{k-1;n-1} & (\mu_k \geq 1 \text{ and } k : \text{odd }) \end{cases} \quad \text{for } k \geq 2, \\
S^{(\mu'_k)} &:= \begin{cases} id & (\mu_k = 0) \\ S_{\mu_k+k-2;n-\mu_k} \cdots S_{k+1;n-3}S_{k;n-2}S_{k-1;n} & (\mu_k \geq 1 \text{ and } k : \text{odd }) \\ S_{\mu_k+k-2;n-\mu_k} \cdots S_{k+1;n-3}S_{k;n-2}S_{k-1;n-1} & (\mu_k \geq 1 \text{ and } k : \text{even }) \end{cases} \quad \text{for } k \geq 2, \\
\varphi^{(\mu)} &:= S^{(\mu_k)} \cdots S^{(\mu_2)}S^{(\mu_1)} \text{ for } \mu = (\mu_1, \mu_2, \cdots, \mu_k, 0, 0, \cdots), \\
\varphi^{(\mu')} &:= S^{(\mu'_k)} \cdots S^{(\mu'_2)}S^{(\mu_1)} \text{ for } \mu = (\mu_1, \mu'_2, \cdots, \mu'_k, 0, 0, \cdots).
\end{aligned}
$$

We prepare the symbol X as follows:

$$X := x_{1;n-2} - x_{1;n-1}, \tag{3.18}$$

$$X' := x_{1;n-2} - x_{1;n}. \tag{3.19}$$

For convenience, we define

$$X_{j;i} := \begin{cases} x_{j;n} & \text{if } j : \text{even and } i = n-1, \\ x_{j;n-1} & \text{if } j : \text{even and } i = n, \\ x_{j;i} & \text{other wise}, \end{cases} \tag{3.20}$$

$$X'_{j;i} := \begin{cases} x_{j;n} & \text{if } j : \text{odd and } i = n-1, \\ x_{j;n-1} & \text{if } j : \text{odd and } i = n, \\ x_{j;i} & \text{other wise}. \end{cases} \tag{3.21}$$

(3.22)

Theorem 3.13. *Let $\mu = (\mu_1, \mu_2, \cdots, \mu_k, 0, 0, \cdots)$ be the admissible pattern.*
(i) The forms $\varphi^{(\mu)}X$ are given by

$$\varphi^{(\mu)}X = \begin{cases} \sum_{k=1}^{l}(X_{\mu_k+k-1;n-\mu_k-1} - X_{\mu_k+k-1;n-\mu_k}) & \text{if } \mu_l = 1, \\ \sum_{k=1}^{l}(X_{\mu_k+k-1;n-\mu_k-1} - X_{\mu_k+k-1;n-\mu_k}) + X_{l;n} & \text{if } \mu_l \geq 2. \end{cases} \tag{3.23}$$

where $l := max\{k : \mu_k \neq 0\}$.

(ii) The forms $\varphi^{(\mu')}X'$ are given by

$$\varphi^{(\mu')}X' = \begin{cases} \sum_{k=1}^{l}(X'_{\mu_k+k-1;n-\mu_k-1} - X'_{\mu_k+k-1;n-\mu_k}) & \text{if } \mu'_l = 1, \\ \sum_{k=1}^{l}(X'_{\mu_k+k-1;n-\mu_k-1} - X'_{\mu_k+k-1;n-\mu_k}) + X'_{l;n} & \text{if } \mu'_l \geq 2. \end{cases} \tag{3.24}$$

where $l := max\{k : \mu'_k \neq 0\}$.

(iii) $\Xi_\iota^{(n-1)}$ (resp. $\Xi_\iota^{(n)}$) is the set of all linear forms which are consisted by $\varphi^{(\mu)}X$ (resp. $\varphi^{(\mu')}X'$), where μ (resp. μ') are the admissible patterns.

Proof. We shall show (i), the case (ii) can be proved by the same argument. First, we give a remark. When $\mu_k - \mu_{k+1} = 1$, the terms $X_{\mu_k+k-1;n-\mu_k}$ and $X_{\mu_{k+1}+k;n-\mu_{k+1}-1}$ in the sum (3.23) are canceled as $-X_{\mu_k+k-1;n-\mu_k} + X_{\mu_{k+1}+k;n-\mu_{k+1}-1} = 0$. We show the theorem by the induction on $|\mu| = \mu_1 + \mu_2 + \cdots + \mu_i$ for $\mu = (\mu_1, \mu_2, \cdots, \mu_i, 0, 0, \cdots)$.

If $|\mu| = 1$, then $l = 1$ and the sum of right hand side of (3.23) is $X_{1;n-2} - X_{1;n-1} = x_{1;n-2} - x_{1;n-1}$ and equal to $\varphi^{(\mu)}X$ by (3.19). We assume that $|\mu| = \mu_1 + \mu_2 + \cdots + \mu_i = k-1$ for $\mu = (\mu_1, \mu_2, \cdots, \mu_i, 0, 0, \cdots)$. We consider the two cases: I) $\mu_i \to \mu_i + 1$, II) "$\mu_{i+1} = 0'' \to$ "$\mu_{i+1} = 1''$.

I) $\mu_i \to \mu_i + 1$.
We shall prove the case $\mu_i = 1$, the case $\mu_i \geq 2$ can be proved by the same argument. We have $l = i$ and note that $1 \leq \mu_i \leq \mu_{i-1} - 2$ by admissible pattern of μ and the term $X_{\mu_i+i-1;n-\mu_i-1}$ in the sum (3.7) is not canceled. We set $\mu' := (\mu_1, \mu_2, \cdots, \mu_i + 1, 0, 0, \cdots)$. We have

$$\varphi^{(\mu')}X = S_{\mu_i+i-1;n-\mu_i-1}\varphi^{(\mu)}X.$$

The right hand side of (3.23) is

$$\begin{aligned}
& S_{\mu_i+i-1;n-\mu_i-1}(\sum_{k=1}^{l}(X_{\mu_k+k-1;n-\mu_k-1} - X_{\mu_k+k-1;n-\mu_k})) \\
= \quad & \sum_{k=1}^{l}(X_{\mu_k+k-1;n-\mu_k-1} - X_{\mu_k+k-1;n-\mu_k}) \\
& -(X_{\mu_i+i-1;n-\mu_i-1} - X_{\mu_i+i-1;n-\mu_i} - X_{\mu_i+i;n-\mu_i-2} + X_{\mu_i+i;n-\mu_i-1}) \\
= \quad & \varphi^{(\mu')}X.
\end{aligned}$$

II) "$\mu_{i+1} = 0$" $\to$ "$\mu_{i+1} = 1$".
We set $\mu' := (\mu_1, \mu_2, \cdots, \mu_i, 1, 0, \cdots)$ (*i.e.* $\mu_{i+1} = 1$) and then $l = i + 1$. By the admissible pattern of μ, we have $0 \leq \mu_{i+1} \leq \mu_i - 1$. This shows that $\mu_i \geq 2$ and the term $X_{i;n}$ in the sum (3.23) is not canceled. If i is odd, then we have

$$\varphi^{(\mu')}X = S_{i;n}\varphi^{(\mu)}X.$$

The right hand side of (3.23) is

$$\begin{aligned}
& S_{i;n}(\sum_{k=1}^{l}(X_{\mu_k+k-1;n-\mu_k-1} - X_{\mu_k+k-1;n-\mu_k}) + X_{i;n}) \\
= \quad & \sum_{k=1}^{l}(X_{\mu_k+k-1;n-\mu_k-1} - X_{\mu_k+k-1;n-\mu_k}) + X_{i;n} - (X_{i;n} - X_{i+1;n-2} + X_{i+1;n}) \\
= \quad & \varphi^{(\mu')}X.
\end{aligned}$$

If i is even, we can prove II) by the same argument.

(iii) We sufficiently need to show that $\Xi_\iota^{(n-1)}$ is closed under the actions of all $S_{j;i}$, the other case can be proved by the same argument. We set for $1 \leq k \leq l + 1$
$\mu = (\mu_1, \mu_2, \cdots, \mu_k, \cdots, \mu_l, 0, 0, \cdots)$, $\mu^+ = (\mu_1, \mu_2, \cdots, \mu_k + 1, \cdots, \mu_l, 0, 0, \cdots)$,
$\mu^- = (\mu_1, \mu_2, \cdots, \mu_k - 1, \cdots, \mu_l, 0, 0, \cdots)$.
Then we have

$$S_{j;i}\varphi^{(\mu)}X = \begin{cases} \varphi^{(\mu^+)}X & \text{if } (j;i) = (\mu_k + k - 1; n - \mu_k) \text{ and } \mu_{k-1} - \mu_k \neq 1, \\ \varphi^{(\mu^-)}X & \text{if } (j;i) = (\mu_k + k - 1; n - \mu_k + 1) \text{ and } \mu_k - \mu_{k+1} \neq 1, \\ \varphi^{(\mu)}X & \text{other wise.} \end{cases}$$

□

Therefore, ι satisfies the strict positivity assumption by the explicit forms of $\Xi_\iota^{(1,n-2)}$, $\Xi_\iota^{(n-1)}$ and $\Xi_\iota^{(n)}$. By the Lemma 2.6, this shows that

$$\begin{aligned}
\Xi_\iota^{(1,n-2)}[\lambda] &= \{\lambda_i + x_{j;i-j} - x_{j;i-j+1} : 1 \leq i \leq n-1,\ 1 \leq j \leq i\}, \\
\Xi_\iota^{(k)}[\lambda] &= \{\lambda_k + \varphi(\vec{x}) : \varphi(\vec{x}) \in \Xi_\iota^{(k)} : \mu \ \text{ are the admissible patterns }\} \ \text{ for } k = n-1, n
\end{aligned}$$

by (3.16), (3.23) and $\Sigma_\iota[\lambda]$ is the polyhedral realization of $B(\lambda)$.

3.4 F_4 case

We fix the ι as follows:

$$\iota := (\cdots, \underbrace{4,3,2,1}, \cdots, \underbrace{4,3,2,1}, \underbrace{4,3,2,1}).$$

We define

$$\begin{aligned}
\Xi_\iota &:= \{S_{m_l} \cdots S_{m_2} S_{m_1}(x_{j;1}) : l \geq 0,\ m_1, \cdots, m_l \geq 1,\ 1 \leq j \leq 6\}, \\
\Sigma_\iota &:= \{\ \vec{x} \in \mathbb{Z}_\iota^\infty \mid \varphi(\vec{x}) \geq 0 \text{ for any } \varphi \in \Xi_\iota\ \}.
\end{aligned}$$

Using Theorem 3.1, we shall give the polyhedral realization of $B(\infty)$. We give the explicit form of Ξ_ι by direct calculation and show that the polyhedral realization of $B(\infty)$ is equal to Σ_ι along the following three steps:
(i) We check the positivity assumption,
(ii) For $\vec{x} = (\cdots, x_{1;2}, x_{1;1}) \in \Sigma_\iota$, we check that $x_{j;i} \geq 0$ for all $i,\ j \geq 1$,
((i), (ii) shows that Σ_ι is the polyhedral realization of $B(\infty)$),
(iii) We determine when $x_{j;i} \equiv 0$ for convenience.

Theorem 3.14. *We give the explicit form of the Ξ_ι as follows:*

$$\left\{\begin{array}{c}
\begin{array}{cccc}
x_{j;1} & x_{j;2} - x_{j+1;1} & 2x_{j;3} - x_{j+1;2} & 2x_{j;4} - x_{j+3;1} \\
x_{j+1;2} - 2x_{j+1;4} & x_{j+2;2} - x_{j+3;2} & x_{j+2;1} - x_{j+4;1} & 2x_{j+2;4} - x_{j+4;2} \\
x_{j+3;1} - x_{j+3;4} & x_{j+4;2} - 2x_{j+4;3} & x_{j+5;1} - x_{j+5;2} & -x_{j+6;1}
\end{array} \\
\begin{array}{ccc}
2x_{j;4} + x_{j+1;2} - 2x_{j+1;3} & 2x_{j;4} - x_{j+2;1} - x_{j+2;2} & 2x_{j+1;3} - 2x_{j+1;4} - x_{j+3;1} \\
x_{j+2;1} + x_{j+2;2} - 2x_{j+2;3} & 2x_{j+2;2} - 2x_{j+2;3} - x_{j+3;1} & x_{j+2;1} + x_{j+3;1} - x_{j+3;2} \\
2x_{j+2;3} + x_{j+3;1} - 2x_{j+3;2} & 2x_{j+2;4} + x_{j+3;1} - 2x_{j+3;3} & 2x_{j+2;3} - x_{j+3;2} - x_{j+4;1} \\
x_{j+2;2} - x_{j+3;1} - x_{j+4;1} & x_{j+3;2} - 2x_{j+3;4} - x_{j+4;1} & 2x_{j+3;3} - 2x_{j+3;4} - x_{j+4;2}
\end{array} \\
\begin{array}{cc}
2x_{j+1;3} + x_{j+2;1} - 2x_{j+1;4} - x_{j+2;2} & 2x_{j+2;4} + x_{j+3;2} - 2x_{j+3;3} - x_{j+4;1}
\end{array}
\end{array}\right\}.$$

(i) By the forms of Σ_ι, coefficients of $x_{1;1}$, $x_{1;2}$, $x_{1;3}$, $x_{1;4}$ are positive. This shows that "positivity assumption" is satisfied.

(ii) We assume $x_{j;1} \geq 0$ for any $j \geq 1$. We show that $x_{j;2}, x_{j;3}, x_{j;4} \geq 0$ for any $j \geq 1$. By the results of (i), we have

$$x_{j;2} \geq x_{j+1;1},\ \ 2x_{j;3} \geq x_{j+1;2},\ \ 2x_{j;4} \geq x_{j+3;1}.$$

Then we have $x_{j;2} \geq 0$ for $j \geq 1$ since $x_{j;2} \geq x_{j+1;1}$. Similarly, we obtain $x_{j;3} \geq 0$, $x_{j;4} \geq 0$ for $j \geq 1$ since $2x_{j;3} \geq x_{j+1;2}$, $2x_{j;4} \geq x_{j+3;1}$ respectively. These (i), (ii), (iii) shows that Σ_ι is the polyhedral realization of $B(\infty)$.

(iii) We determine when $x_{j;i} \equiv 0$. By the forms of Σ_ι and (ii), we have

$$0 \geq x_{j+6;1} \geq 0.$$

This shows $x_{m;1} \equiv 0$ for $m \geq 7$. Similarly, we obtain

$$x_{m;2} \equiv 0,\ \ x_{m;3} \equiv 0,\ \ x_{m;4} \equiv 0 \ \text{ for } m \geq 7$$

since $x_{j+5;1} \geq x_{j+5;2}$, $x_{j+4;1} \geq x_{j+4;3}$, $x_{j+3;1} \geq x_{j+3;4}$ respectively. In particular, the parameter j in Ξ_ι is $1 \leq j \leq 6$.

Next, we give the polyhedral realization of $B(\lambda)$ for $\lambda := \lambda_1\Lambda_1 + \cdots + \lambda_4\Lambda_4$. Here we set for $1 \leq i \leq 4$

$$\begin{aligned}
\Xi_\iota^{(i)} &:= \{S_{j_k} \cdots S_{j_2} S_{j_1} \xi^{(i)}(\vec{x}) : k \geq 0,\ j_1, \cdots, j_k \geq 1\}, \\
\Xi_\iota^{(i)}[\lambda] &:= \{\hat{S}_{j_k} \cdots \hat{S}_{j_2} \hat{S}_{j_1} \lambda^{(i)}(\vec{x}) : k \geq 0,\ j_1, \cdots, j_k \geq 1\}, \\
\Xi_\iota[\lambda] &:= \Xi_\iota \cup \Xi_\iota^{(1)}[\lambda] \cup \cdots \cup \Xi_\iota^{(4)}[\lambda] \\
\Sigma_\iota[\lambda] &:= \{\vec{x} \in \mathbb{Z}_\iota[\lambda] : \varphi(\vec{x}) \geq 0 \ \text{ for any } \ \varphi \in \Xi_\iota[\lambda]\}.
\end{aligned}$$

In order to show that $\Sigma_\iota[\lambda]$ is the polyhedral realization of $B(\lambda)$, we give the explicit forms of $\Xi_\iota^{(i)}$ for $1 \leq i \leq 4$ by direct calculation as follws:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Xi_\iota^{(1)} &= \{-x_{1;1}\}, \\
\Xi_\iota^{(2)} &= \{x_{1;1} - x_{1;2},\ -x_{2;1}\}, \\
\Xi_\iota^{(3)} &= \\
&\left\{ \begin{array}{c}
\begin{array}{cccc}
x_{1;2} - x_{1;3} & x_{1;3} - x_{3;1} & x_{2;1} - x_{2;4} & x_{2;3} - x_{3;3} \\
x_{1;4} - x_{3;4} & x_{2;4} - x_{5;1} & x_{4;1} - x_{4;3} & x_{4;3} - x_{5;2} \\
x_{4;4} - x_{5;3} & -x_{5;4} & &
\end{array} \\
\begin{array}{ccc}
x_{1;3} + x_{2;1} - x_{2;2} & x_{1;4} + x_{2;1} - x_{2;3} & x_{1;4} + x_{2;3} - x_{3;2} \\
x_{1;4} + x_{2;4} - x_{3;3} & x_{2;4} + x_{3;2} - 2x_{3;3} & x_{2;4} + x_{4;1} - x_{4;2} \\
x_{2;2} - x_{2;4} - x_{3;1} & 2x_{2;3} - x_{2;4} - x_{3;2} & x_{3;2} - x_{3;3} - x_{3;4} \\
x_{2;3} - x_{2;4} - x_{3;4} & x_{3;3} - x_{3;4} - x_{5;1} & x_{4;2} - x_{4;3} - x_{5;1}
\end{array} \\
\begin{array}{cc}
x_{1;4} + x_{2;2} - x_{2;3} - x_{3;1} & x_{3;3} + x_{4;1} - x_{3;4} - x_{4;2}
\end{array}
\end{array} \right\}, \\
\Xi_\iota^{(4)} &= \\
&\left\{ \begin{array}{c}
\begin{array}{cccc}
x_{1;3} - x_{1;4} & x_{2;2} - x_{2;3} & x_{2;3} - x_{4;1} & x_{3;1} - x_{3;4} \\
x_{3;3} - x_{4;3} & x_{2;4} - x_{4;4} & x_{3;4} - x_{6;1} & x_{5;1} - x_{5;3} \\
x_{5;3} - x_{6;2} & x_{5;4} - x_{6;3} & -x_{6;4} &
\end{array} \\
\begin{array}{ccc}
x_{2;3} + x_{3;1} - x_{3;2} & x_{2;4} + x_{3;1} - x_{3;3} & x_{2;4} + x_{3;3} - x_{4;2} \\
x_{2;4} + x_{3;4} - x_{4;3} & x_{3;4} + x_{4;2} - 2x_{4;3} & x_{3;4} + x_{5;1} - x_{5;2} \\
x_{3;2} - x_{3;4} - x_{4;1} & 2x_{3;3} - x_{3;4} - x_{4;2} & x_{4;2} - x_{4;3} - x_{4;4} \\
x_{3;3} - x_{3;4} - x_{4;4} & x_{4;3} - x_{4;4} - x_{6;1} & x_{5;2} - x_{5;3} - x_{6;1}
\end{array} \\
\begin{array}{cc}
x_{2;4} + x_{3;2} - x_{3;3} - x_{4;1} & x_{4;3} + x_{5;1} - x_{4;4} - x_{5;2}
\end{array}
\end{array} \right\}.
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore, ι satisfies the strict positivity assumption by the explicit forms of $\Xi_\iota^{(i)}$ for $1 \leq i \leq 4$. This shows that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Xi_\iota^{(1)}[\lambda] &= \{\lambda_1 - x_{1;1}\}, \\
\Xi_\iota^{(2)}[\lambda] &= \{\lambda_2 + x_{1;1} - x_{1;2},\ \lambda_2 - x_{2;1}\}, \\
\Xi_\iota^{(k)}[\lambda] &= \{\lambda_k + \varphi_k(\vec{x}) : \varphi_k(\vec{x}) \in \Xi_\iota^{(k)}\} \ \text{ for } k = 3, 4.
\end{aligned}
$$

by the Lemma 2.6 and $\Sigma_\iota[\lambda]$ is the polyhedral realization of $B(\lambda)$.

3.5 E_6 case

We fix the ι as follows:

$$\iota := (\cdots, \underbrace{6, 5, 4, 3, 2, 1}, \cdots, \underbrace{6, 5, 4, 3, 2, 1}, \underbrace{6, 5, 4, 3, 2, 1}).$$

We define

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Xi_\iota &:= \{S_{m_l} \cdots S_{m_2} S_{m_1}(x_{j;1}) : l \geq 0,\ m_1, \cdots, m_l \geq 1,\ 1 \leq j \leq 8\}, \\
\Sigma_\iota &:= \{\ \vec{x} \in \mathbb{Z}_\iota^\infty : \varphi(\vec{x}) \geq 0 \text{ for any } \varphi \in \Xi_\iota\ \}.
\end{aligned}
$$

We give the explicit form of Ξ_ι by direct calculation and show that the polyhedral realization of $B(\infty)$ is equal to Σ_ι along the following steps similar to the case of F_4:
(i) We check the positivity assumption
(ii) For $\vec{x} = (\cdots, x_{1;2}, x_{1;1}) \in \Sigma_\iota$, we check that $x_{j;i} \geq 0$ for all i, $j \geq 1$
(iii) We determine when $x_{j;i} \equiv 0$ for convenience.

Theorem 3.15. *We give the explicit form of the Ξ_ι as follows:*

$$\left\{\begin{array}{c}
x_{j;1} \quad x_{j;2}-x_{j+1;1} \quad x_{j;3}-x_{j+1;2} \quad x_{j;4}-x_{j+1;6} \\
x_{j;6}-x_{j+1;5} \quad x_{j+2;2}-x_{j+2;4} \quad x_{j;5}-x_{j+4;1} \quad x_{j+3;1}-x_{j+3;6} \\
x_{j+2;6}-x_{j+2;2} \quad x_{j+3;4}-x_{j+4;3} \quad x_{j+3;5}-x_{j+4;4} \quad -x_{j+4;5} \\
x_{j;4}+x_{j;6}-x_{j+1;3} \quad x_{j;5}+x_{j;6}-x_{j+1;4} \quad x_{j;5}+x_{j+2;2}-x_{j+2;3} \\
x_{j;5}+x_{j+3;1}-x_{j+3;2} \quad x_{j+2;6}+x_{j+3;1}-x_{j+3;3} \quad x_{j+1;3}-x_{j+1;5}-x_{j+1;6} \\
x_{j+1;4}-x_{j+1;5}-x_{j+4;1} \quad x_{j+2;3}-x_{j+2;4}-x_{j+4;1} \quad x_{j+3;2}-x_{j+3;6}-x_{j+4;1} \\
x_{j+3;3}-x_{j+3;6}-x_{j+4;2} \quad x_{j;5}+x_{j+1;3}-x_{j+1;4}-x_{j+1;6} \\
x_{j+1;4}+x_{j+2;2}-x_{j+1;5}-x_{j+2;3} \quad x_{j+1;4}+x_{j+3;1}-x_{j+1;5}-x_{j+3;2} \\
x_{j+2;3}+x_{j+3;1}-x_{j+2;4}-x_{j+3;2} \quad x_{j+2;6}+x_{j+3;2}-x_{j+3;3}-x_{j+4;1}
\end{array}\right\}.$$

(i) By the form of Σ_ι, coefficients of $x_{1;1}$, $x_{1;2}$, $x_{1;3}$, $x_{1;4}$, $x_{1;5}$, $x_{1;6}$ are positive. This shows that "positivity assumption" is satisfied.

(ii) We assume $x_{j;1} \geq 0$ for any $j \geq 1$. We show that $x_{j;2}$, $x_{j;3}$, $x_{j;4}$, $x_{j;5}$, $x_{j;6} \geq 0$ for any $j \geq 1$. By the form of Σ_ι, we have

$$x_{j;2} \geq x_{j+1;1}, \quad x_{j;3} \geq x_{j+1;2}, \quad x_{j;5} \geq x_{j+4;1}, \quad x_{j;6} \geq x_{j+1;5}, \quad x_{j;4} \geq x_{j+1;6}.$$

This shows $x_{j;2} \geq 0$ for $j \geq 1$ since $x_{j+1;1} \geq 0$ and similarly, we have $x_{j;3} \geq 0$, $x_{j;5} \geq 0$, $x_{j;6} \geq 0$, $x_{j;4} \geq 0$ for $j \geq 1$ since $x_{j;3} \geq x_{j+1;2}$, $x_{j;5} \geq x_{j+4;1}$, $x_{j;6} \geq x_{j+1;5}$, $x_{j;4} \geq x_{j+1;6}$ respectively.

(iii) We determine when $x_{j;i} \equiv 0$. We have

$$0 \geq x_{j+4;5} \geq 0.$$

This shows $x_{m;5} \equiv 0$ for $m \geq 5$. Similarly, we have $x_{m;4} \equiv 0$ for $m \geq 6$, $x_{m;3} \equiv 0$ for $m \geq 7$, $x_{m;6} \equiv 0$ for $m \geq 7$, $x_{m;2} \equiv 0$ for $m \geq 8$, $x_{m;1} \equiv 0$ for $m \geq 9$ since $x_{j+3;4} \geq x_{j+4;3}$, $x_{j+3;5} \geq x_{j+4;4}$, $x_{j;4} \geq x_{j+1;6}$, $x_{j;3} \geq x_{j+1;2}$, $x_{j;2} \geq x_{j+1;1}$ respectively. In particular, the parameter j in Ξ_ι runs $1 \leq j \leq 8$.

Next, we give the polyhedral realization of $B(\lambda)$ for $\lambda := \lambda_1\Lambda_1 + \cdots + \lambda_6\Lambda_6$. Here we set for $1 \leq i \leq 6$

$$\begin{aligned}
\Xi_\iota^{(i)} &:= \{S_{j_k}\cdots S_{j_2}S_{j_1}\xi^{(i)}(\vec{x}) : k \geq 0,\ j_1,\cdots,j_k \geq 1\}, \\
\Xi_\iota^{(i)}[\lambda] &:= \{\hat{S}_{j_k}\cdots \hat{S}_{j_2}\hat{S}_{j_1}\lambda^{(i)}(\vec{x}) : k \geq 0,\ j_1,\cdots,j_k \geq 1\}, \\
\Xi_\iota[\lambda] &:= \Xi_\iota \cup \Xi_\iota^{(1)}[\lambda] \cup \cdots \cup \Xi_\iota^{(6)}[\lambda] \\
\Sigma_\iota[\lambda] &:= \{\vec{x} \in \mathbb{Z}_\iota[\lambda] : \varphi(\vec{x}) \geq 0 \ \text{ for any } \ \varphi \in \Xi_\iota[\lambda]\}.
\end{aligned}$$

In order to show that $\Sigma_\iota[\lambda]$ is the polyhedral realization of $B(\lambda)$, we give the explicit forms of $\Xi_\iota^{(i)}$ for $1 \leq i \leq 6$

by direct calculation as follws:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Xi_{\iota}^{(1)} &= \{-x_{1;1}\},\\
\Xi_{\iota}^{(2)} &= \{x_{1;1}-x_{1;2},\ -x_{2;1}\},\\
\Xi_{\iota}^{(3)} &= \{x_{1;2}-x_{1;3},\ x_{2;1}-x_{2;2},\ -x_{3;1}\},\\
\Xi_{\iota}^{(4)} &=
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\left\{
\begin{array}{l}
x_{1;3}-x_{1;4} \quad x_{2;2}-x_{2;6} \quad x_{1;6}-x_{4;1} \quad x_{3;1}-x_{3;5} \quad x_{2;4}-x_{4;2} \quad x_{2;5}-x_{4;6} \quad x_{3;6}-x_{4;4}\\
x_{5;2}-x_{5;3} \quad x_{6;1}-x_{6;2} \qquad -x_{7;1}\\
\qquad x_{1;6}+x_{2;2}-x_{2;3} \quad x_{1;6}+x_{3;1}-x_{3;2} \quad x_{2;4}+x_{3;1}-x_{3;3} \quad x_{2;5}+x_{3;1}-x_{3;4}\\
\qquad x_{2;5}+x_{3;6}-x_{4;3}\\
\qquad x_{2;3}-x_{2;6}-x_{4;1} \quad x_{3;2}-x_{3;5}-x_{4;1} \quad x_{3;3}-x_{3;5}-x_{4;2} \quad x_{3;4}-x_{3;5}-x_{4;6}\\
\qquad x_{4;3}-x_{4;4}-x_{4;6}\\
\qquad x_{2;3}+x_{3;1}-x_{2;6}-x_{3;2} \quad x_{2;4}+x_{3;2}-x_{3;3}-x_{4;1} \quad x_{2;5}+x_{3;2}-x_{3;4}-x_{4;1}\\
\qquad x_{2;5}+x_{3;3}-x_{3;4}-x_{4;2} \quad x_{3;4}+x_{3;6}-x_{3;5}-x_{4;3}
\end{array}
\right\},
$$

$$
\Xi_{\iota}^{(5)} =
$$

$$
\left\{
\begin{array}{l}
x_{1;4}-x_{1;5} \quad x_{2;3}-x_{2;4} \quad x_{3;2}-x_{3;6} \quad x_{2;6}-x_{5;1} \quad x_{4;1}-x_{4;5} \quad x_{3;4}-x_{5;2} \quad x_{3;5}-x_{5;6}\\
x_{4;6}-x_{5;4} \quad x_{6;2}-x_{6;3} \quad x_{7;1}-x_{7;2} \qquad -x_{8;1}\\
\qquad x_{2;6}+x_{3;2}-x_{3;3} \quad x_{2;6}+x_{4;1}-x_{4;2} \quad x_{3;4}+x_{4;1}-x_{4;3} \quad x_{3;5}+x_{4;1}-x_{4;4}\\
\qquad x_{3;5}+x_{4;6}-x_{5;3}\\
\qquad x_{3;3}-x_{3;6}-x_{5;1} \quad x_{4;2}-x_{4;5}-x_{5;1} \quad x_{4;3}-x_{4;5}-x_{5;2} \quad x_{4;4}-x_{4;5}-x_{5;6}\\
\qquad x_{5;3}-x_{5;4}-x_{5;6}\\
\qquad x_{3;3}+x_{4;1}-x_{3;6}-x_{4;2} \quad x_{3;4}+x_{4;2}-x_{4;3}-x_{5;1} \quad x_{3;5}+x_{4;2}-x_{4;4}-x_{5;1}\\
\qquad x_{3;5}+x_{4;3}-x_{4;4}-x_{5;2} \quad x_{4;4}+x_{4;6}-x_{4;5}-x_{5;3}
\end{array}
\right\},
$$

$$
\Xi_{\iota}^{(6)} =
$$

$$
\left\{
\begin{array}{l}
x_{1;3}-x_{1;6} \quad x_{2;2}-x_{2;5} \quad x_{1;4}-x_{4;1} \quad x_{3;3}-x_{4;3} \quad x_{3;1}-x_{6;1} \quad x_{2;6}-x_{4;6} \quad x_{1;5}-x_{4;5}\\
x_{5;1}-x_{5;4} \quad x_{3;5}-x_{6;2} \quad x_{5;6}-x_{6;3} \qquad -x_{6;1}\\
\qquad x_{1;4}+x_{2;2}-x_{2;3} \quad x_{1;5}+x_{2;2}-x_{2;4} \quad x_{1;4}+x_{3;1}-x_{3;2} \quad x_{1;5}+x_{3;1}-x_{3;6}\\
\qquad x_{2;6}+x_{3;1}-x_{2;4} \quad x_{1;5}+x_{2;6}-x_{4;2} \quad x_{2;1}+x_{4;2}-x_{4;3} \quad x_{1;5}+x_{3;4}-x_{4;3}\\
\qquad x_{3;1}+x_{5;1}-x_{5;2} \quad x_{2;6}+x_{3;6}-x_{4;3} \quad x_{1;5}+x_{3;5}-x_{4;4} \quad x_{3;5}+x_{5;1}-x_{5;3}\\
\qquad x_{2;3}-x_{2;5}-x_{4;1} \quad x_{3;2}-x_{4;1}-x_{6;1} \quad x_{3;3}-x_{3;6}-x_{4;6} \quad x_{2;4}-x_{2;5}-x_{4;5}\\
\qquad x_{3;3}-x_{4;2}-x_{6;1} \quad x_{3;3}-x_{3;4}-x_{4;5} \quad x_{4;2}-x_{4;5}-x_{4;6} \quad x_{3;4}-x_{4;6}-x_{6;1}\\
\qquad x_{3;6}-x_{4;5}-x_{6;1} \quad x_{5;2}-x_{5;4}-x_{6;1} \quad x_{4;4}-x_{4;5}-x_{6;2} \quad x_{5;3}-x_{5;4}-x_{6;2}\\
\qquad x_{2;3}+x_{3;1}-x_{2;5}-x_{3;2} \quad x_{1;5}+x_{2;3}-x_{2;4}-x_{4;1} \quad x_{2;4}+x_{3;1}-x_{2;5}-x_{3;6}\\
\qquad x_{1;5}+x_{3;2}-x_{3;6}-x_{4;1} \quad x_{3;1}+x_{3;3}-x_{3;4}-x_{3;6} \quad x_{2;6}+x_{3;2}-x_{3;4}-x_{4;1}\\
\qquad x_{2;4}+x_{2;5}-x_{2;6}-x_{4;2} \quad x_{1;5}+x_{3;3}-x_{3;6}-x_{4;2} \quad x_{2;6}+x_{3;3}-x_{3;4}-x_{4;2}\\
\qquad x_{3;2}+x_{4;2}-x_{4;1}-x_{4;3} \quad x_{2;4}+x_{3;4}-x_{2;5}-x_{4;3} \quad x_{3;2}+x_{5;1}-x_{4;1}-x_{5;2}\\
\qquad x_{2;4}+x_{3;5}-x_{2;5}-x_{4;4} \quad x_{3;4}+x_{4;2}-x_{4;3}-x_{4;6} \quad x_{3;3}+x_{4;2}-x_{5;1}-x_{5;2}\\
\qquad x_{3;3}+x_{3;5}-x_{3;4}-x_{4;4} \quad x_{3;6}+x_{4;2}-x_{4;3}-x_{4;5} \quad x_{3;5}+x_{4;2}-x_{4;4}-x_{4;6}\\
\qquad x_{3;4}+x_{5;1}-x_{4;6}-x_{5;2} \quad x_{3;4}+x_{3;6}-x_{4;3}-x_{6;1} \quad x_{3;6}+x_{5;1}-x_{4;5}-x_{5;2}\\
\qquad x_{3;5}+x_{3;6}-x_{4;4}-x_{6;1} \quad x_{4;4}+x_{5;1}-x_{4;5}-x_{5;3} \quad x_{3;5}+x_{5;2}-x_{5;3}-x_{6;1}\\
\quad x_{1;5}+x_{2;6}+x_{3;1}-x_{3;3} \quad x_{3;4}+x_{3;6}+x_{4;2}-2x_{4;3} \quad 2x_{3;3}-x_{3;4}-x_{3;6}-x_{4;2}\\
\quad x_{4;3}-x_{4;5}-x_{4;6}-x_{6;1}\\
\quad x_{2;4}+x_{2;6}+x_{3;2}-x_{2;5}-x_{3;3}-x_{4;1} \quad x_{3;5}+x_{4;3}+x_{5;1}-x_{4;4}-x_{4;6}-x_{5;2}\\
\qquad\qquad x_{1;5}+x_{2;3}+x_{3;1}-x_{2;4}-x_{3;2} \quad x_{2;4}+x_{2;6}+x_{3;1}-x_{2;5}-x_{3;3}\\
\qquad\qquad x_{1;5}+x_{2;6}+x_{3;2}-x_{3;3}-x_{4;1} \quad x_{3;5}+x_{3;6}+x_{4;2}-x_{4;3}-x_{4;4}\\
\qquad\qquad x_{3;4}+x_{3;6}+x_{5;1}-x_{4;3}-x_{5;2} \quad x_{3;5}+x_{3;6}+x_{5;1}-x_{4;4}-x_{5;2}\\
\qquad\qquad x_{2;4}+x_{3;2}-x_{2;5}-x_{3;6}-x_{4;1} \quad x_{3;2}+x_{3;3}-x_{3;4}-x_{3;6}-x_{4;1}\\
\qquad\qquad x_{2;4}+x_{3;3}-x_{2;5}-x_{3;6}-x_{4;2} \quad x_{4;3}+x_{5;1}-x_{4;5}-x_{4;6}-x_{5;2}\\
\qquad\qquad x_{3;5}+x_{4;3}-x_{4;4}-x_{4;6}-x_{6;1} \quad x_{4;4}+x_{5;2}-x_{4;5}-x_{5;3}-x_{6;1}
\end{array}
\right\}.
$$

Therefore, ι satisfies the strict positivity assumption by the explicit forms of $\Xi_\iota^{(i)}$ for $1 \le i \le 6$. This shows that

$$\begin{array}{rcl}
\Xi_\iota^{(1)}[\lambda] & = & \{\lambda_1 - x_{1;1}\}, \\
\Xi_\iota^{(2)}[\lambda] & = & \{\lambda_2 + x_{1;1} - x_{1;2},\ \lambda_2 - x_{2;1}\}, \\
\Xi_\iota^{(3)}[\lambda] & = & \{\lambda_3 + x_{1;2} - x_{1;3},\ \lambda_2 + x_{2;1} - x_{2;2},\ \lambda_3 - x_{3;1}\}, \\
\Xi_\iota^{(k)}[\lambda] & = & \{\lambda_k + \varphi_k(\vec{x}) : \varphi_k(\vec{x}) \in \Xi_\iota^{(k)}\} \ \text{ for } k = 4, 5, 6.
\end{array}$$

by the Lemma 2.6 and $\Sigma_\iota[\lambda]$ is the polyhedral realization of $B(\lambda)$.

3.6 E_7 case

We fix the ι as follows:

$$\iota := (\cdots, \underbrace{7, 6, 5, 4, 3, 2, 1}, \cdots, \underbrace{7, 6, 5, 4, 3, 2, 1}, \underbrace{7, 6, 5, 4, 3, 2, 1}).$$

We define

$$\begin{array}{rcl}
\Xi_\iota & := & \{S_{m_l} \cdots S_{m_2} S_{m_1}(x_{j;1}) : l \ge 0,\ m_1, \cdots, m_l \ge 1,\ 1 \le j \le 9\}, \\
\Sigma_\iota & := & \{\ \vec{x} \in \mathbb{Z}_\iota^\infty : \varphi(\vec{x}) \ge 0 \text{ for any } \varphi \in \Xi_\iota\ \}.
\end{array}$$

Theorem 3.16. *We give the explicit form of the* Ξ_ι *as follows:*

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{c}
\begin{array}{cccc}
x_{j;1} & x_{j;2} - x_{j+1;1} & x_{j;3} - x_{j+1;2} & x_{j;4} - x_{j+1;3} \\
x_{j;5} - x_{j+1;7} & x_{j;7} - x_{j+1;6} & x_{j+2;3} - x_{j+2;5} & x_{j;6} - x_{j+5;1} \\
x_{j+3;2} - x_{j+3;7} & x_{j+2;7} - x_{j+5;2} & x_{j+4;1} - x_{j+4;6} & x_{j+3;5} - x_{j+5;3} \\
x_{j+3;6} - x_{j+5;7} & x_{j+4;7} - x_{j+5;5} & x_{j+6;3} - x_{j+6;4} & x_{j+7;2} - x_{j+7;3} \\
x_{j+8;1} - x_{j+8;2} & -x_{j+9;1} & &
\end{array} \\
\begin{array}{ccc}
x_{j;5} + x_{j;7} - x_{j+1;4} & x_{j;6} + x_{j;7} - x_{j+1;5} & x_{j;6} + x_{j+2;3} - x_{j+2;4} \\
x_{j;6} + x_{j+3;2} - x_{j+3;3} & x_{j;6} + x_{j+4;1} - x_{j+4;2} & x_{j+2;7} + x_{j+3;2} - x_{j+3;4} \\
x_{j+2;7} + x_{j+4;1} - x_{j+4;3} & x_{j+3;5} + x_{j+4;1} - x_{j+4;4} & x_{j+3;6} + x_{j+4;1} - x_{j+4;5} \\
x_{j+3;6} + x_{j+4;7} - x_{j+5;4} & x_{j+1;4} - x_{j+1;6} - x_{j+1;7} & x_{j+1;5} - x_{j+1;6} - x_{j+5;1} \\
x_{j+2;4} - x_{j+2;5} - x_{j+5;1} & x_{j+3;3} - x_{j+3;7} - x_{j+5;1} & x_{j+3;4} - x_{j+3;7} - x_{j+5;2} \\
x_{j+4;2} - x_{j+4;6} - x_{j+5;1} & x_{j+4;3} - x_{j+4;6} - x_{j+5;2} & x_{j+4;4} - x_{j+4;6} - x_{j+5;3} \\
x_{j+4;5} - x_{j+4;6} - x_{j+5;7} & x_{j+5;4} - x_{j+5;5} - x_{j+5;7} &
\end{array} \\
\begin{array}{cc}
x_{j;6} + x_{j+1;4} - x_{j+1;5} - x_{j+1;7} & x_{j+1;5} + x_{j+2;3} - x_{j+1;6} - x_{j+2;4} \\
x_{j+1;5} + x_{j+3;2} - x_{j+1;6} - x_{j+3;3} & x_{j+2;4} + x_{j+3;2} - x_{j+2;5} - x_{j+3;3} \\
x_{j+1;5} + x_{j+4;1} - x_{j+1;6} - x_{j+4;2} & x_{j+2;4} + x_{j+4;1} - x_{j+2;5} - x_{j+4;2} \\
x_{j+3;3} + x_{j+4;1} - x_{j+3;7} - x_{j+4;2} & x_{j+2;7} + x_{j+3;3} - x_{j+3;4} - x_{j+5;1} \\
x_{j+3;4} + x_{j+4;1} - x_{j+3;7} - x_{j+4;3} & x_{j+2;7} + x_{j+4;2} - x_{j+4;3} - x_{j+5;1} \\
x_{j+3;5} + x_{j+4;2} - x_{j+4;4} - x_{j+5;1} & x_{j+3;6} + x_{j+4;2} - x_{j+4;5} - x_{j+5;1} \\
x_{j+3;5} + x_{j+4;3} - x_{j+4;4} - x_{j+5;2} & x_{j+3;6} + x_{j+4;3} - x_{j+4;5} - x_{j+5;2} \\
x_{j+3;6} + x_{j+4;4} - x_{j+4;5} - x_{j+5;3} & x_{j+4;5} + x_{j+4;7} - x_{j+4;6} - x_{j+5;4} \\
x_{j+2;7} + x_{j+3;3} + x_{j+4;1} - x_{j+3;4} - x_{j+4;2} & x_{j+3;4} + x_{j+4;2} - x_{j+3;7} - x_{j+4;3} - x_{j+5;1}
\end{array}
\end{array} \right\}.$$

(i) By the form of Σ_ι, coefficients of $x_{1;1}$, $x_{1;2}$, $x_{1;3}$, $x_{1;4}$, $x_{1;5}$, $x_{1;6}$, $x_{1;7}$ are positive. This shows that "positivity assumption" is satisfied.

(ii) We assume $x_{j;1} \ge 0$ for any $j \ge 1$. We show that $x_{j;2}$, $x_{j;3}$, $x_{j;4}$, $x_{j;5}$, $x_{j;6}$, $x_{1;7} \ge 0$ for any $j \ge 1$. By the form of Σ_ι, we have

$$x_{j;2} \ge x_{j+1;1},\ \ x_{j;3} \ge x_{j+1;2},\ \ x_{j;4} \ge x_{j+1;3},\ \ x_{j;6} \ge x_{j+5;1},\ \ x_{j;7} \ge x_{j+1;6},\ \ x_{j;5} \ge x_{j+1;7}.$$

This shows $x_{j;2} \ge 0$ for $j \ge 1$ since $x_{j+1;1} \ge 0$ and similarly, we have $x_{j;3} \ge 0$, $x_{j;4} \ge 0$, $x_{j;6} \ge 0$, $x_{j;7} \ge 0$, $x_{j;5} \ge 0$ for $j \ge 1$ since $x_{j;3} \ge x_{j+1;2}$, $x_{j;4} \ge x_{j+1;3}$, $x_{j;6} \ge x_{j+5;1}$, $x_{j;7} \ge x_{j+1;6}$, $x_{j;5} \ge x_{j+1;7}$ respectively.

(iii) We determine when $x_{j;i} \equiv 0$. We have

$$0 \geq x_{j+9;1} \geq 0.$$

This shows $x_{m;1} \equiv 0$ for $m \geq 10$. Similarly, we have $x_{m;2} \equiv 0$, $x_{m;3} \equiv 0$, $x_{m;4} \equiv 0$, $x_{m;5} \equiv 0$, $x_{m;6} \equiv 0$, $x_{m;7} \equiv 0$ for $m \geq 10$ since $x_{j+8;1} \geq x_{j+8;2}$, $x_{j+7;2} \geq x_{j+7;3}$, $x_{j+6;3} \geq x_{j+6;4}$, $x_{j+2;3} \geq x_{j+2;5}$, $x_{j+4;1} \geq x_{j+4;6}$, $x_{j+3;2} \geq x_{j+3;7}$ respectively. In particular, the parameter j in Ξ_ι runs $1 \leq j \leq 9$.

3.7 E_8 case

We fix the ι as follows:

$$\iota := (\cdots, \underbrace{8,7,6,5,4,3,2,1}_{}, \cdots, \underbrace{8,7,6,5,4,3,2,1}_{}, \underbrace{8,7,6,5,4,3,2,1}_{}).$$

We define

$$\begin{aligned} \Xi_\iota &:= \{S_{m_l} \cdots S_{m_2} S_{m_1}(x_{j;1}) : l \geq 0,\ m_1, \cdots, m_l \geq 1,\ 1 \leq j \leq 15\}, \\ \Sigma_\iota &:= \{\ \vec{x} \in \mathbb{Z}_\iota^\infty : \varphi(\vec{x}) \geq 0 \text{ for any } \varphi \in \Xi_\iota\ \}. \end{aligned}$$

Theorem 3.17. *We give the explicit form of the Ξ_ι as follows:*

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
x_{j;1} & x_{j;2} - x_{j+1;1} & x_{j;3} - x_{j+1;2} & x_{j;4} - x_{j+1;3} & x_{j;5} - x_{j+1;4} \\
x_{j;6} - x_{j+1;8} & x_{j;7} - x_{j+6;1} & x_{j;8} - x_{j+1;7} & x_{j+2;4} - x_{j+2;6} & x_{j+2;8} - x_{j+6;2} \\
x_{j+3;3} - x_{j+3;8} & x_{j+3;6} - x_{j+6;3} & x_{j+3;7} - x_{j+6;7} & x_{j+4;2} - x_{j+4;7} & x_{j+4;8} - x_{j+6;8} \\
x_{j+5;1} - x_{j+10;1} & x_{j+5;5} - x_{j+6;5} & x_{j+5;7} - x_{j+10;2} & x_{j+7;3} - x_{j+7;6} & x_{j+7;8} - x_{j+10;3} \\
x_{j+8;2} - x_{j+8;8} & x_{j+8;6} - x_{j+10;4} & x_{j+8;7} - x_{j+10;8} & x_{j+9;1} - x_{j+9;7} & x_{j+9;8} - x_{j+10;6} \\
x_{j+11;4} - x_{j+11;5} & x_{j+12;3} - x_{j+12;4} & x_{j+13;2} - x_{j+13;3} & x_{j+14;1} - x_{j+14;2} & -x_{j+15;1}
\end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{cc}
2x_{j+5;5} - x_{j+5;6} - x_{j+5;8} - x_{j+6;4} & x_{j+6;5} - x_{j+6;7} - x_{j+6;8} - x_{j+10;1} \\
x_{j+3;7} + x_{j+4;8} + x_{j+5;1} - x_{j+5;5} & x_{j+5;6} + x_{j+5;8} + x_{j+6;4} - 2x_{j+6;5}
\end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
x_{j;6} + x_{j;8} - x_{j+1;5} & x_{j;7} + x_{j;8} - x_{j+1;6} & x_{j;7} + x_{j+2;4} - x_{j+2;5} \\
x_{j;7} + x_{j+3;3} - x_{j+3;4} & x_{j;7} + x_{j+4;2} - x_{j+4;3} & x_{j;7} + x_{j+5;1} - x_{j+5;2} \\
x_{j+2;8} + x_{j+3;3} - x_{j+3;5} & x_{j+2;8} + x_{j+4;2} - x_{j+4;4} & x_{j+2;8} + x_{j+5;1} - x_{j+5;3} \\
x_{j+3;6} + x_{j+4;2} - x_{j+4;5} & x_{j+3;6} + x_{j+5;1} - x_{j+5;4} & x_{j+3;7} + x_{j+4;2} - x_{j+4;6} \\
x_{j+3;7} + x_{j+4;8} - x_{j+6;4} & x_{j+3;7} + x_{j+5;1} - x_{j+5;8} & x_{j+3;7} + x_{j+5;6} - x_{j+6;5} \\
x_{j+3;7} + x_{j+5;7} - x_{j+6;6} & x_{j+4;8} + x_{j+5;1} - x_{j+5;6} & x_{j+4;8} + x_{j+5;8} - x_{j+6;5} \\
x_{j+5;1} + x_{j+6;4} - x_{j+6;5} & x_{j+5;1} + x_{j+7;3} - x_{j+7;4} & x_{j+5;1} + x_{j+8;2} - x_{j+8;3} \\
x_{j+5;1} + x_{j+9;1} - x_{j+9;2} & x_{j+5;7} + x_{j+7;3} - x_{j+7;5} & x_{j+5;7} + x_{j+8;2} - x_{j+8;4} \\
x_{j+5;7} + x_{j+9;1} - x_{j+9;3} & x_{j+7;8} + x_{j+8;2} - x_{j+8;5} & x_{j+7;8} + x_{j+9;1} - x_{j+9;4} \\
x_{j+8;6} + x_{j+9;1} - x_{j+9;5} & x_{j+8;7} + x_{j+9;1} - x_{j+9;6} & x_{j+8;7} + x_{j+9;8} - x_{j+10;5} \\
x_{j+1;5} - x_{j+1;7} - x_{j+1;8} & x_{j+1;6} - x_{j+1;7} - x_{j+6;1} & x_{j+2;5} - x_{j+2;6} - x_{j+6;1} \\
x_{j+3;4} - x_{j+3;8} - x_{j+6;1} & x_{j+3;5} - x_{j+3;8} - x_{j+6;2} & x_{j+4;3} - x_{j+4;7} - x_{j+6;1} \\
x_{j+4;4} - x_{j+4;7} - x_{j+6;2} & x_{j+4;5} - x_{j+4;7} - x_{j+6;3} & x_{j+4;6} - x_{j+4;7} - x_{j+6;7} \\
x_{j+5;2} - x_{j+6;1} - x_{j+10;1} & x_{j+5;3} - x_{j+6;2} - x_{j+10;1} & x_{j+5;4} - x_{j+6;3} - x_{j+10;1} \\
x_{j+5;5} - x_{j+5;6} - x_{j+6;7} & x_{j+5;5} - x_{j+6;4} - x_{j+10;1} & x_{j+5;5} - x_{j+5;8} - x_{j+6;8} \\
x_{j+5;6} - x_{j+6;8} - x_{j+10;1} & x_{j+5;8} - x_{j+6;7} - x_{j+10;1} & x_{j+6;4} - x_{j+6;7} - x_{j+6;8} \\
x_{j+6;6} - x_{j+6;7} - x_{j+10;2} & x_{j+7;4} - x_{j+7;6} - x_{j+10;1} & x_{j+7;5} - x_{j+7;6} - x_{j+10;2} \\
x_{j+8;3} - x_{j+8;8} - x_{j+10;1} & x_{j+8;4} - x_{j+8;8} - x_{j+10;2} & x_{j+8;5} - x_{j+8;8} - x_{j+10;3} \\
x_{j+9;2} - x_{j+9;7} - x_{j+10;1} & x_{j+9;3} - x_{j+9;7} - x_{j+10;2} & x_{j+9;4} - x_{j+9;7} - x_{j+10;3} \\
x_{j+9;5} - x_{j+9;7} - x_{j+10;4} & x_{j+9;6} - x_{j+9;7} - x_{j+10;8} & x_{j+10;5} - x_{j+10;6} - x_{j+10;8}
\end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{cc}
x_{j+3;5} + x_{j+4;3} + x_{j+5;1} - x_{j+3;8} - x_{j+4;4} - x_{j+5;2} & x_{j+3;6} + x_{j+4;4} + x_{j+5;2} - x_{j+4;5} - x_{j+5;3} - x_{j+6;1} \\
x_{j+3;7} + x_{j+4;4} + x_{j+5;2} - x_{j+4;6} - x_{j+5;3} - x_{j+6;1} & x_{j+3;7} + x_{j+4;5} + x_{j+5;2} - x_{j+4;6} - x_{j+5;4} - x_{j+6;1} \\
x_{j+3;7} + x_{j+4;5} + x_{j+5;3} - x_{j+4;6} - x_{j+5;4} - x_{j+6;2} & x_{j+4;6} + x_{j+4;8} + x_{j+5;2} - x_{j+4;7} - x_{j+5;5} - x_{j+6;1} \\
x_{j+4;6} + x_{j+4;8} + x_{j+5;3} - x_{j+4;7} - x_{j+5;5} - x_{j+6;2} & x_{j+4;6} + x_{j+4;8} + x_{j+5;4} - x_{j+4;7} - x_{j+5;5} - x_{j+6;3} \\
x_{j+5;7} + x_{j+6;5} + x_{j+7;3} - x_{j+6;6} - x_{j+6;8} - x_{j+7;4} & x_{j+5;7} + x_{j+6;5} + x_{j+8;2} - x_{j+6;6} - x_{j+6;8} - x_{j+8;3} \\
x_{j+5;7} + x_{j+6;5} + x_{j+9;1} - x_{j+6;6} - x_{j+6;8} - x_{j+9;2} & x_{j+6;6} + x_{j+7;4} + x_{j+8;2} - x_{j+6;7} - x_{j+7;5} - x_{j+8;3} \\
x_{j+6;6} + x_{j+7;4} + x_{j+9;1} - x_{j+6;7} - x_{j+7;5} - x_{j+9;2} & x_{j+6;6} + x_{j+8;3} + x_{j+9;1} - x_{j+6;7} - x_{j+8;4} - x_{j+9;2} \\
x_{j+7;5} + x_{j+8;3} + x_{j+9;1} - x_{j+7;6} - x_{j+8;4} - x_{j+9;2} & x_{j+7;8} + x_{j+8;4} + x_{j+9;2} - x_{j+8;5} - x_{j+9;3} - x_{j+10;1}
\end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
x_{j;7}+x_{j+1;5}-x_{j+1;6}-x_{j+1;8} & x_{j+1;6}+x_{j+2;4}-x_{j+1;7}-x_{j+2;5} & x_{j+1;6}+x_{j+3;3}-x_{j+1;7}-x_{j+3;4} \\
x_{j+1;6}+x_{j+4;2}-x_{j+1;7}-x_{j+4;3} & x_{j+1;6}+x_{j+5;1}-x_{j+1;7}-x_{j+5;2} & x_{j+2;5}+x_{j+2;6}-x_{j+3;3}-x_{j+3;4} \\
x_{j+2;5}+x_{j+4;2}-x_{j+2;6}-x_{j+4;3} & x_{j+2;5}+x_{j+5;1}-x_{j+2;6}-x_{j+5;2} & x_{j+2;8}+x_{j+3;4}-x_{j+3;5}-x_{j+6;1} \\
x_{j+2;8}+x_{j+4;3}-x_{j+4;4}-x_{j+6;1} & x_{j+2;8}+x_{j+5;2}-x_{j+5;3}-x_{j+6;1} & x_{j+3;4}+x_{j+4;2}-x_{j+3;8}-x_{j+4;3} \\
x_{j+3;4}+x_{j+5;1}-x_{j+3;8}-x_{j+5;2} & x_{j+3;5}+x_{j+4;2}-x_{j+3;8}-x_{j+4;4} & x_{j+3;5}+x_{j+5;1}-x_{j+3;8}-x_{j+5;3} \\
x_{j+3;6}+x_{j+4;3}-x_{j+4;5}-x_{j+6;1} & x_{j+3;6}+x_{j+4;4}-x_{j+4;5}-x_{j+6;2} & x_{j+3;6}+x_{j+5;2}-x_{j+5;4}-x_{j+6;1} \\
x_{j+3;6}+x_{j+5;3}-x_{j+5;4}-x_{j+6;2} & x_{j+3;7}+x_{j+4;3}-x_{j+4;6}-x_{j+6;1} & x_{j+3;7}+x_{j+4;4}-x_{j+4;6}-x_{j+6;2} \\
x_{j+3;7}+x_{j+4;5}-x_{j+4;6}-x_{j+6;3} & x_{j+3;7}+x_{j+5;2}-x_{j+5;8}-x_{j+6;1} & x_{j+3;7}+x_{j+5;3}-x_{j+5;8}-x_{j+6;2} \\
x_{j+3;7}+x_{j+5;4}-x_{j+5;8}-x_{j+6;3} & x_{j+3;7}+x_{j+5;5}-x_{j+5;8}-x_{j+6;4} & x_{j+4;3}+x_{j+5;1}-x_{j+4;7}-x_{j+5;2} \\
x_{j+4;4}+x_{j+5;1}-x_{j+4;7}-x_{j+5;3} & x_{j+4;5}+x_{j+5;1}-x_{j+4;7}-x_{j+5;4} & x_{j+4;6}+x_{j+4;8}-x_{j+4;7}-x_{j+6;4} \\
x_{j+4;6}+x_{j+5;1}-x_{j+4;7}-x_{j+5;8} & x_{j+4;6}+x_{j+5;6}-x_{j+4;7}-x_{j+6;5} & x_{j+4;6}+x_{j+5;7}-x_{j+4;7}-x_{j+6;6} \\
x_{j+4;8}+x_{j+5;2}-x_{j+5;6}-x_{j+6;1} & x_{j+4;8}+x_{j+5;3}-x_{j+5;6}-x_{j+6;2} & x_{j+4;8}+x_{j+5;4}-x_{j+5;6}-x_{j+6;3} \\
x_{j+4;8}+x_{j+5;5}-x_{j+5;6}-x_{j+6;4} & x_{j+5;1}+x_{j+5;5}-x_{j+5;6}-x_{j+5;8} & x_{j+5;2}+x_{j+6;4}-x_{j+6;1}-x_{j+6;5} \\
x_{j+5;2}+x_{j+7;3}-x_{j+6;1}-x_{j+7;4} & x_{j+5;2}+x_{j+8;2}-x_{j+6;1}-x_{j+8;3} & x_{j+5;2}+x_{j+9;1}-x_{j+6;1}-x_{j+9;2} \\
x_{j+5;3}+x_{j+6;4}-x_{j+6;2}-x_{j+6;5} & x_{j+5;3}+x_{j+7;3}-x_{j+6;2}-x_{j+7;4} & x_{j+5;3}+x_{j+8;2}-x_{j+6;2}-x_{j+8;3} \\
x_{j+5;3}+x_{j+9;1}-x_{j+6;2}-x_{j+9;2} & x_{j+5;4}+x_{j+6;4}-x_{j+6;3}-x_{j+6;5} & x_{j+5;4}+x_{j+7;3}-x_{j+6;3}-x_{j+7;4} \\
x_{j+5;4}+x_{j+8;2}-x_{j+6;3}-x_{j+8;3} & x_{j+5;4}+x_{j+9;1}-x_{j+6;3}-x_{j+9;2} & x_{j+5;5}+x_{j+5;7}-x_{j+5;6}-x_{j+6;6} \\
x_{j+5;5}+x_{j+7;3}-x_{j+6;4}-x_{j+7;4} & x_{j+5;5}+x_{j+8;2}-x_{j+6;4}-x_{j+8;3} & x_{j+5;5}+x_{j+9;1}-x_{j+6;4}-x_{j+9;2} \\
x_{j+5;6}+x_{j+5;8}-x_{j+6;5}-x_{j+10;1} & x_{j+5;6}+x_{j+6;4}-x_{j+6;5}-x_{j+6;8} & x_{j+5;6}+x_{j+7;3}-x_{j+6;8}-x_{j+7;4} \\
x_{j+5;6}+x_{j+8;2}-x_{j+6;8}-x_{j+8;3} & x_{j+5;6}+x_{j+9;1}-x_{j+6;8}-x_{j+9;2} & x_{j+5;7}+x_{j+5;8}-x_{j+6;6}-x_{j+10;1} \\
x_{j+5;7}+x_{j+6;4}-x_{j+6;6}-x_{j+6;8} & x_{j+5;7}+x_{j+7;4}-x_{j+7;5}-x_{j+10;1} & x_{j+5;7}+x_{j+8;3}-x_{j+8;4}-x_{j+10;1} \\
x_{j+5;7}+x_{j+9;2}-x_{j+9;3}-x_{j+10;1} & x_{j+5;8}+x_{j+6;4}-x_{j+6;5}-x_{j+6;7} & x_{j+5;8}+x_{j+7;3}-x_{j+6;7}-x_{j+7;4} \\
x_{j+5;8}+x_{j+8;2}-x_{j+6;7}-x_{j+8;3} & x_{j+5;8}+x_{j+9;1}-x_{j+6;7}-x_{j+9;2} & x_{j+6;6}+x_{j+7;3}-x_{j+6;7}-x_{j+7;5} \\
x_{j+6;6}+x_{j+8;2}-x_{j+6;7}-x_{j+8;4} & x_{j+6;6}+x_{j+9;1}-x_{j+6;7}-x_{j+9;3} & x_{j+7;4}+x_{j+8;2}-x_{j+7;6}-x_{j+8;3} \\
x_{j+7;4}+x_{j+9;1}-x_{j+7;6}-x_{j+9;2} & x_{j+7;5}+x_{j+8;2}-x_{j+7;6}-x_{j+8;4} & x_{j+7;5}+x_{j+9;1}-x_{j+7;6}-x_{j+9;3} \\
x_{j+7;8}+x_{j+8;3}-x_{j+8;5}-x_{j+10;1} & x_{j+7;8}+x_{j+8;4}-x_{j+8;5}-x_{j+10;2} & x_{j+7;8}+x_{j+9;2}-x_{j+9;4}-x_{j+10;1} \\
x_{j+7;8}+x_{j+9;3}-x_{j+9;4}-x_{j+10;2} & x_{j+8;3}+x_{j+9;1}-x_{j+8;8}-x_{j+9;2} & x_{j+8;4}+x_{j+9;1}-x_{j+8;8}-x_{j+9;3} \\
x_{j+8;5}+x_{j+9;1}-x_{j+8;8}-x_{j+9;4} & x_{j+8;6}+x_{j+9;2}-x_{j+9;5}-x_{j+10;1} & x_{j+8;6}+x_{j+9;3}-x_{j+9;5}-x_{j+10;2} \\
x_{j+8;6}+x_{j+9;4}-x_{j+9;5}-x_{j+10;3} & x_{j+8;7}+x_{j+9;2}-x_{j+9;6}-x_{j+10;1} & x_{j+8;7}+x_{j+9;3}-x_{j+9;6}-x_{j+10;2} \\
x_{j+8;7}+x_{j+9;4}-x_{j+9;6}-x_{j+10;3} & x_{j+8;7}+x_{j+9;5}-x_{j+9;6}-x_{j+10;4} & x_{j+9;6}+x_{j+9;8}-x_{j+9;7}-x_{j+10;5}
\end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{cc}
x_{j+2;8}+x_{j+3;4}+x_{j+4;2}-x_{j+3;5}-x_{j+4;3} & x_{j+2;8}+x_{j+3;4}+x_{j+5;1}-x_{j+3;5}-x_{j+5;2} \\
x_{j+2;8}+x_{j+4;3}+x_{j+5;1}-x_{j+4;4}-x_{j+5;2} & x_{j+3;6}+x_{j+4;3}+x_{j+5;1}-x_{j+4;5}-x_{j+5;2} \\
x_{j+3;6}+x_{j+4;4}+x_{j+5;1}-x_{j+4;5}-x_{j+5;3} & x_{j+3;7}+x_{j+4;3}+x_{j+5;1}-x_{j+4;6}-x_{j+5;2} \\
x_{j+3;7}+x_{j+4;4}+x_{j+5;1}-x_{j+4;6}-x_{j+5;3} & x_{j+3;7}+x_{j+4;5}+x_{j+5;1}-x_{j+4;6}-x_{j+5;4} \\
x_{j+3;7}+x_{j+4;8}+x_{j+5;2}-x_{j+5;5}-x_{j+6;1} & x_{j+3;7}+x_{j+4;8}+x_{j+5;3}-x_{j+5;5}-x_{j+6;2} \\
x_{j+3;7}+x_{j+4;8}+x_{j+5;4}-x_{j+5;5}-x_{j+6;3} & x_{j+4;6}+x_{j+4;8}+x_{j+5;1}-x_{j+4;7}-x_{j+5;5} \\
x_{j+5;6}+x_{j+5;8}+x_{j+7;3}-x_{j+6;5}-x_{j+7;4} & x_{j+5;6}+x_{j+5;8}+x_{j+8;2}-x_{j+6;5}-x_{j+8;3} \\
x_{j+5;6}+x_{j+5;8}+x_{j+9;1}-x_{j+6;5}-x_{j+9;2} & x_{j+5;7}+x_{j+5;8}+x_{j+6;4}-x_{j+6;5}-x_{j+6;6} \\
x_{j+5;7}+x_{j+5;8}+x_{j+7;3}-x_{j+6;6}-x_{j+7;4} & x_{j+5;7}+x_{j+5;8}+x_{j+8;2}-x_{j+6;6}-x_{j+8;3} \\
x_{j+5;7}+x_{j+5;8}+x_{j+9;1}-x_{j+6;6}-x_{j+9;2} & x_{j+5;7}+x_{j+7;4}+x_{j+8;2}-x_{j+7;5}-x_{j+8;3} \\
x_{j+5;7}+x_{j+7;4}+x_{j+9;1}-x_{j+7;5}-x_{j+9;2} & x_{j+5;7}+x_{j+8;3}+x_{j+9;1}-x_{j+8;4}-x_{j+9;2} \\
x_{j+7;8}+x_{j+8;3}+x_{j+9;1}-x_{j+8;5}-x_{j+9;2} & x_{j+7;8}+x_{j+8;4}+x_{j+9;1}-x_{j+8;5}-x_{j+9;3} \\
x_{j+3;5}+x_{j+4;3}-x_{j+3;8}-x_{j+4;4}-x_{j+6;1} & x_{j+3;5}+x_{j+5;2}-x_{j+3;8}-x_{j+5;3}-x_{j+6;1} \\
x_{j+4;4}+x_{j+5;2}-x_{j+4;7}-x_{j+5;3}-x_{j+6;1} & x_{j+4;5}+x_{j+5;2}-x_{j+4;7}-x_{j+5;4}-x_{j+6;1} \\
x_{j+4;5}+x_{j+5;3}-x_{j+4;7}-x_{j+5;4}-x_{j+6;2} & x_{j+4;6}+x_{j+5;2}-x_{j+4;7}-x_{j+5;8}-x_{j+6;1} \\
x_{j+4;6}+x_{j+5;3}-x_{j+4;7}-x_{j+5;8}-x_{j+6;2} & x_{j+4;6}+x_{j+5;4}-x_{j+4;7}-x_{j+5;8}-x_{j+6;3} \\
x_{j+4;6}+x_{j+5;5}-x_{j+4;7}-x_{j+5;8}-x_{j+6;4} & x_{j+5;2}+x_{j+5;5}-x_{j+5;6}-x_{j+5;8}-x_{j+6;1} \\
x_{j+5;3}+x_{j+5;5}-x_{j+5;6}-x_{j+5;8}-x_{j+6;2} & x_{j+5;4}+x_{j+5;5}-x_{j+5;6}-x_{j+5;8}-x_{j+6;3} \\
x_{j+5;7}+x_{j+6;5}-x_{j+6;6}-x_{j+6;8}-x_{j+10;1} & x_{j+6;5}+x_{j+7;3}-x_{j+6;7}-x_{j+6;8}-x_{j+7;4} \\
x_{j+6;5}+x_{j+8;2}-x_{j+6;7}-x_{j+6;8}-x_{j+8;3} & x_{j+6;5}+x_{j+9;1}-x_{j+6;7}-x_{j+6;8}-x_{j+9;2} \\
x_{j+6;6}+x_{j+7;4}-x_{j+6;7}-x_{j+7;5}-x_{j+10;1} & x_{j+6;6}+x_{j+8;3}-x_{j+6;7}-x_{j+8;4}-x_{j+10;1} \\
x_{j+6;6}+x_{j+9;2}-x_{j+6;7}-x_{j+9;3}-x_{j+10;1} & x_{j+7;5}+x_{j+8;3}-x_{j+7;6}-x_{j+8;4}-x_{j+10;1} \\
x_{j+7;5}+x_{j+9;2}-x_{j+7;6}-x_{j+9;3}-x_{j+10;1} & x_{j+8;4}+x_{j+9;2}-x_{j+8;8}-x_{j+9;3}-x_{j+10;1} \\
x_{j+8;5}+x_{j+9;2}-x_{j+8;8}-x_{j+9;4}-x_{j+10;1} & x_{j+8;5}+x_{j+9;3}-x_{j+8;8}-x_{j+9;4}-x_{j+10;2}
\end{array}$$

(i) By the form of Σ_ι, coefficients of $x_{1;1}$, $x_{1;2}$, $x_{1;3}$, $x_{1;4}$, $x_{1;5}$, $x_{1;6}$, $x_{1;7}$, $x_{1;8}$ are positive. This shows that "positivity assumption" is satisfied.

(ii) We assume $x_{j;1} \geq 0$ for any $j \geq 1$. We show that $x_{j;2}$, $x_{j;3}$, $x_{j;4}$, $x_{j;5}$, $x_{j;6}$, $x_{1;7}$, $x_{1;8} \geq 0$ for any $j \geq 1$. By the form of Σ_ι, we have

$$x_{j;2} \geq x_{j+1;1},\ \ x_{j;3} \geq x_{j+1;2},\ \ x_{j;4} \geq x_{j+1;3},\ \ x_{j;5} \geq x_{j+1;4},\ \ x_{j;7} \geq x_{j+6;1},\ \ x_{j;8} \geq x_{j+1;7},\ \ x_{j;6} \geq x_{j+1;8}.$$

This shows $x_{j;2} \geq 0$ for $j \geq 1$ since $x_{j+1;1} \geq 0$ and similarly, we have $x_{j;3} \geq 0$, $x_{j;4} \geq 0$, $x_{j;5} \geq 0$, $x_{j;7} \geq 0$, $x_{j;8} \geq 0$, $x_{j;6} \geq 0$ for $j \geq 1$ since $x_{j;3} \geq x_{j+1;2}$, $x_{j;4} \geq x_{j+1;3}$, $x_{j;5} \geq x_{j+1;4}$, $x_{j;7} \geq x_{j+1;6}$, $x_{j;8} \geq x_{j+1;7}$, $x_{j;6} \geq x_{j+1;8}$ respectively.

(iii) We determine when $x_{j;i} \equiv 0$. We have

$$0 \geq x_{j+15;1} \geq 0.$$

This shows $x_{m;1} \equiv 0$ for $m \geq 16$. Similarly, we have $x_{m;2} \equiv 0$, $x_{m;3} \equiv 0$, $x_{m;4} \equiv 0$, $x_{m;5} \equiv 0$, $x_{m;6} \equiv 0$, $x_{m;7} \equiv 0$, $x_{m;8} \equiv 0$ for $m \geq 16$ since $x_{j+14;1} \geq x_{j+14;2}$, $x_{j+13;2} \geq x_{j+13;3}$, $x_{j+12;3} \geq x_{j+12;4}$, $x_{j+11;4} \geq x_{j+11;5}$, $x_{j+7;3} \geq x_{j+7;6}$, $x_{j+9;1} \geq x_{j+9;7}$, $x_{j+8;2} \geq x_{j+8;8}$ respectively. In particular, the parameter j in Ξ_ι runs $1 \leq j \leq 15$.

Remark 3.18. For all simple case, the number of $x_{j;i}$'s such that $x_{j;i} \not\equiv 0$ are equal to the length of longest element of Weyl group.

References

[H] A. Hoshino, Polyhedral Realizations of Crystal Bases for Modified Quantum Algebras of Arbitrary Rank 2 Cases, math.QA/0403192.

[HN] A. Hoshino and T. Nakashima, Polyhedral Realizations of Crystal Bases for Modified Quantum Algebras of Type A, to appear Comm in Algebra.

[Kac] V. Kac, Infinite dimensional Lie algebras, 3rd edition, Cambridge Univ. Press, 1990.

[K1] M. Kashiwara, On crystal bases of the q-analogue of universal enveloping algebras, Duke Math. J., **63** (1991), 465–516.

[K2] M. Kashiwara, Crystal base and Littelmann's refined Demazure character formula, Duke Math. J., **71** (1993), 839–858.

[Li] P. Littelmann, Corns, crystals, and patterns, Transform. Groups, **3** (1998), no. 2, 145-179.

[L1] G. Lusztig, Canonical bases in tensor products, Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. U.S.A., **89** (1992), 8177-8179.

[L2] G. Lusztig, Introduction to quantum groups, Birkhäuser, Boston, 1993.

[N] T. Nakashima, Polyhedral Realizations of Crystal Bases for Integrable Highest Weight Modules, J. Algebra, **219** (1999), 571–597.

[NZ] T. Nakashima and A. Zelevinsky, Polyhedral Realization of Crystal Bases for Quantized Kac-Moody Algebras, Adv. in Math., **131** No.1 (1997), 253–278.